

APPENDICES

- Appendix 1 **Size of Contributions Analysis**
Tables 1-4
2002 through 2004 Primary and General Legislative Contributions by Size
Tables 5 and 6
Primary and General Statewide Contributions by Size
- Appendix 2 **Double Giving Analysis**
Table 1 – Top 10 Double Givers to Leadership PACs – 2000 through 2008
Table 2 – 60-40 Double Givers
- Appendix 3 **Campaign Contributions About Access, Not Access**
- Appendix 4 **Legislative Primary and General Election Competition
Analysis – 2002 through 2008**

APPENDIX 1

SIZE OF CONTRIBUTION ANALYSIS

2002-2008 LEGISLATIVE SIZE OF CONTRIBUTIONS– Tables 1-4

Table 1 - 2002 primary and general election legislative contributions by size of contributions

Primary 2002 Legislative contributions					
Contribution size categories	contribution amounts by size categories	% of total contributions by size categories	# contributions in each size category	% of total contributions by contribution size category	average contribution amount in each contribution size category
\$1,001 and up	\$2,670,249	49.4%	647	2.9%	\$4,127
\$501-\$1,000	\$906,045	16.7%	975	4.3%	\$929
\$251-500	\$712,844	13.2%	1,556	6.9%	\$458
\$101-250	\$402,843	7.4%	1,911	8.5%	\$211
\$51-\$100	\$357,374	6.6%	3,651	16.3%	\$98
\$50 or less	\$360,402	6.7%	13,694 (estimate*)	61.0%	\$26
Primary total	\$5,409,757	100.0%	22,434 (estimate*)	100.0%	
General 2002 Legislative contributions					
Contribution size categories	contribution amounts by size categories	% of total contributions by size categories	# contributions in each size category	% of total contributions by contribution size category	average contribution amount in each contribution size category
\$1,001 and up	\$7,897,698	68.7%	1,183	5.9%	\$6,676
\$501-\$1,000	\$1,299,792	11.3%	1,400	7.0%	\$928
\$251-500	\$1,220,003	10.6%	2,636	13.1%	\$463
\$101-250	\$541,507	4.7%	2,493	12.4%	\$217
\$51-\$100	\$272,994	2.4%	2,828	14.4%	\$97
\$50 or less	\$255,979	2.2%	9545 (estimate*)	47.5%	\$27
General total	\$11,487,973	100.0%	20,085 (estimate*)	100.0%	

*Most reporting of \$50 or less contributions are as aggregated totals of unitemized contributions with no information provided about the donor. This means it is not possible to determine the number of contributions. To get an estimate of number of contributions it is assumed that each small, unitemized contributions is \$25.

Table 2 - 2004 primary and general election legislative contributions by size of contributions

Primary 2004 Legislative contributions					
Contribution size categories	contribution amounts by size categories	% of total contributions by size categories	# contributions in each size category	% of total contributions by contribution size category	average contribution amount in each contribution size category
\$1,001 and up	\$2,126,755	43.9%	592	3.1%	\$3,592
\$501-\$1,000	\$964,462	19.9%	1,017	5.3%	\$948
\$251-500	\$753,643	15.6%	1,616	8.4%	\$466
\$101-250	\$384,335	7.9%	1,793	9.4%	\$214
\$51-\$100	\$347,237	7.2%	3,540	18.5%	\$98
\$50 or less	\$265,320	4.5%	10,613 (estimate*)	55.4%	\$25
Primary total	\$4,841,753	100.0%	19,171 (estimate*)	100.0%	
General 2004 Legislative contributions					
Contribution size categories	contribution amounts by size categories	% of total contributions by size categories	# contributions in each size category	% of total contributions by contribution size category	average contribution amount in each contribution size category
\$1,001 and up	\$8,685,938	70.5%	1,226	6.2%	\$7,085
\$501-\$1,000	\$1,345,839	10.9%	1,447	7.3%	\$930
\$251 - \$500	\$1,248,658	10.1%	2,649	13.4%	\$471
\$101-\$250	\$536,586	4.4%	2,413	12.2%	\$222
\$51-100	\$272,550	2.2%	2,811	14.2%	\$97
\$50 or less	\$229,605	1.9%	9,184 (estimate*)	46.5%	\$25
General total	\$12,319,177	100.0%	19,730 (estimate*)	100.0%	

*Most reporting of \$50 or less contributions are as aggregated totals of un-itemized contributions with no information provided about the donor. This means it is not possible to determine the number of contributions. This analysis assumes that un-itemized contributions average \$25 each

Table 3– 2006 primary and general election legislative contributions by size of contributions

Primary 2006 Legislative contributions					
Contribution size categories	contribution amounts by size categories	% of total contributions by size categories	# contributions in each size category	% of total contributions by contribution size category	average contribution amount in each contribution size category
\$5,001 and up	\$1,559,319	26.1%	134	0.6%	\$11,637
\$2,501-\$5,000	\$688,232	11.5%	172	0.8%	\$4,001
\$1,001-\$2,500	\$907,740	15.2%	488	2.3%	\$1,860
\$501-\$1,000	\$871,255	14.6%	919	4.4%	\$948
\$251-\$500	\$757,014	12.7%	1,620	7.8%	\$467
\$101-\$250	\$393,953	6.6%	1,845	8.9%	\$214
\$100 or less	\$791,068	13.3%	15,600 (estimate*)	75.1%	\$51
Primary total	\$5,968,581	100.0%	20,778 (estimate*)	100.0%	
General 2006 Legislative contributions					
Contribution size categories	contribution amounts by size categories	% of total contributions by size categories	# contributions in each size category	% of total contributions by contribution size category	average contribution amount in each contribution size category
\$5,001 and up	\$7,613,432	53.8%	329	1.7%	\$23,141
\$2,501-\$5,000	\$1,149,650	8.1%	284	1.5%	\$4,048
\$1,001 - \$2,500	\$1,387,039	9.8%	731	3.7%	\$1,897
\$501- \$1,000	\$1,588,850	11.2%	1,676	8.6%	\$948
\$251 - \$500	\$1,322,008	9.3%	2,791	14.3%	\$473
\$101-\$250	\$501,921	3.5%	2,280	11.7%	\$220
\$100 or less	\$580,315	4.1%	11,478 (estimate*)	58.7%	\$51
General total	\$14,143,216	100.0%	19,569 (estimate*)	100.0%	

*Most reporting of \$100 or less contributions are as aggregated totals of un-itemized contributions with no information provided about the donor. This means it is not possible to determine the number of contributions. This analysis assumes that un-itemized contributions average \$50 each.

Table 4– 2008 primary and general election legislative contributions by size of contributions

Primary 2008 Legislative contributions					
Contribution size categories	contribution amounts by size categories	% of total contributions by size categories	# contributions in each size category	% of total contributions by contribution size category	average contribution amount in each contribution size category
\$5,001 and up	\$1,357,563	19.8%	111	0.6%	\$12,230
\$2,501-\$5,000	\$845,303	12.3%	220	1.2%	\$3,842
\$1,001-\$2,500	\$1,396,120	20.3%	745	4%	\$1,874
\$501-\$1,000	\$1,325,770	19.3%	1,393	7.4%	\$952
\$251-\$500	\$920,341	13.4%	1,988	10.6%	\$463
\$101-\$250	\$404,743	5.9%	1,854	9.9%	\$218
\$100 or less	\$621,386	9.0%	12,428 (estimate*)	66.3%	\$50
Primary total	\$6,871,225	100.0%	18,739 (estimate*)	100.0%	
General 2008 Legislative contributions					
Contribution size categories	contribution amounts by size categories	% of total contributions by size categories	# contributions in each size category	% of total contributions by contribution size category	average contribution amount in each contribution size category
\$5,001 and up	\$6,399,623	47.4%	292	1.8%	\$13,524
\$2,501-\$5,000	\$149,9349	11.1%	382	2.4%	\$3,925
\$1,001 - \$2,500	\$1820,215	13.4%	969	6.1%	\$1,897
\$501- \$1,000	\$1,808,458	13.4%	1,880	11.7%	\$962
\$251 - \$500	\$118,3673	8.8%	2,515	15.7%	\$471
\$101-\$250	\$388,532	2.9%	1,753	11%	\$222
\$100 or less	\$410,838	3.0%	8,217 (estimate*)	51.3%	\$50
General total	\$13,510,688	100.0%	16,008 (estimate*)	100.0%	

*Most reporting of \$100 or less contributions are as aggregated totals of un-itemized contributions with no information provided about the donor. This means it is not possible to determine the number of contributions. This analysis assumes that un-itemized contributions average \$50 each.

2006 -2008 STATEWIDE SIZE OF CONTRIBUTIONS ANALYSIS – Tables 5-6

Table 5 – 2006 primary & general election gubernatorial contributions by size of contributions

Primary 2006 Gubernatorial contributions						
Contribution size categories	contribution amounts by size categories	% of total contributions by size categories	# contributions in each size category	% of total contributions by contribution size category	average contribution amount in each contribution size category	
\$5,001 and up	\$3,963,704	63.9%	166	2.3%	\$23,878	
\$2,501-\$5,000	\$776,271	12.5%	174	2.4%	\$4,461	
\$1,001-\$2,500	\$455,892	7.3%	231	3.3%	\$1,974	
\$501-\$1,000	\$388,314	6.3%	411	5.8%	\$945	
\$251-\$500	\$240,150	3.9%	510	7.2%	\$471	
\$101-\$250	\$125,501	2.0%	581	8.2%	\$216	
\$100 or less	\$257,238	4.1%	5,033 (estimate*)	70.8%	\$51	
Primary total	\$6,207,071	100.0%	7,106 (estimate*)	100.0%		
General 2006 Gubernatorial contributions						
Contribution size categories	contribution amounts by size categories	% of total contributions by size categories	# contributions in each size category	% of total contributions by contribution size category	average contribution amount in each contribution size category	
\$5,001 and up	\$9,178,094	78.7%	262	1.7%	\$35,031	
\$2,501-\$5,000	\$765,192	6.6%	172	1.5%	\$4,449	
\$1,001 - \$2,500	\$515,346	4.4%	267	3.7%	\$1,930	
\$501- \$1,000	\$429,083	3.7%	456	8.6%	\$941	
\$251 - \$500	\$257,448	2.2%	555	14.3%	\$464	
\$101-\$250	\$187,528	1.6%	875	11.7%	\$214	
\$100 or less	\$324,903	2.8%	6,357 (estimate*)	58.7%	\$51	
General total	\$11,658,219	100.0%	8,944 (estimate*)	100.0%		

*Most reporting of \$100 or less contributions are as aggregated totals of un-itemized contributions with no information provided about the donor. This means it is not possible to determine the number of contributions. This analysis assumes that un-itemized contributions average \$50 each.

Table 6– 2008 primary and general election statewide contributions by size of contributions

Primary 2008 Statewide contributions						
Contribution size categories	contribution amounts by size categories	% of total contributions by size categories	# contributions in each size category	% of total contributions by contribution size category	average contribution amount in each contribution size category	
\$5,001 and up	\$1,200,900	36.1%	59	0.8%	\$20,354	
\$2,501-\$5,000	\$388,288	11.7%	92	1.2%	\$4,221	
\$1,001-\$2,500	\$445,636	13.4%	236	3.1%	\$1,888	
\$501-\$1,000	\$438,791	13.2%	468	6.1%	\$938	
\$251-\$500	\$359,134	10.8%	762	9.9%	\$471	
\$101-\$250	\$252,693	7.6%	1,184	15.5%	\$213	
\$100 or less	\$243,087	7.3%	4,862 (estimate*)	63.4%	\$50	
Primary total	\$3,328,528	100.0%	7,663 (estimate*)	100.0%		
General 2008 Statewide contributions						
Contribution size categories	contribution amounts by size categories	% of total contributions by size categories	# contributions in each size category	% of total contributions by contribution size category	average contribution amount in each contribution size category	
\$5,001 and up	\$1,549,478	51.9%	77	2.2%	\$20,123	
\$2,501-\$5,000	\$388,831	13.0%	92	2.6%	\$4,226	
\$1,001 - \$2,500	\$348,062	11.7%	171	4.9%	\$2,035	
\$501- \$1,000	\$293,991	9.8%	306	8.7%	\$961	
\$251 - \$500	\$191,452	6.4%	405	11.6%	\$473	
\$101-\$250	\$121,709	4.1%	579	16.6%	\$210	
\$100 or less	\$93,415	3.1%	1,868 (estimate*)	53.4%	\$50	
General total	\$2,986,937	100.0%	3,498 (estimate*)	100.0%		

*Most reporting of \$100 or less contributions are as aggregated totals of un-itemized contributions with no information provided about the donor. This means it is not possible to determine the number of contributions. This analysis assumes that un-itemized contributions average \$50 each.

APPENDIX 2 – DOUBLE GIVING ANALYSIS

Table1: Top 10 Double Givers to Leadership PACs per Election Cycle

2000	Total \$	\$ to Dem	% to Dem	\$ to Rep	% to Rep
PHILLIP MORRIS USA	\$78,700	\$14,000	17.8%	\$64,700	82.2%
OR FOREST INDUSTRIES COUNCIL	\$70,040	\$250	0.4%	\$69,790	99.6%
OR RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION	\$58,014	\$5,654	9.7%	\$52,360	90.3%
IBEW EDUCATIONAL COMMITTEE	\$42,500	\$20,000	47.1%	\$22,500	52.9%
OR AUTO DEALERS ASSOCIATION	\$41,503	\$4,586	11.1%	\$36,917	88.9%
OR HEALTH CARE ASSOC PAC	\$40,226	\$13,351	33.2%	\$26,875	66.8%
OREGONIANS FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING	\$37,270	\$6,200	16.6%	\$31,070	83.4%
LIBERTY NORTHWEST INSURANCE CO.	\$34,080	\$11,550	33.9%	\$22,530	66.1%
OR BANKERS ASSOC PAC	\$28,657	\$6,002	20.9%	\$22,655	79.1%
ATLANTIC RICHFIELD CO./ARCO	\$28,500	\$8,000	28.1%	\$20,500	71.9%
2002	Total \$	\$ to Dem	% to Dem	\$ to Rep	% to Rep
OR HEALTH CARE ASSOCIATION PAC	\$90,196	\$30,096	33.4%	\$60,100	66.6%
OR RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION PAC	\$75,529	\$15,633	20.7%	\$59,896	79.3%
AGC COMMITTEE FOR ACTION	\$74,800	\$21,000	28.1%	\$53,800	71.9%
OR FOREST INDUSTRIES PAC	\$66,100	\$10,600	16.0%	\$55,500	84.0%
OR AUTO DEALERS ASSOCIATION	\$63,319	\$5,580	8.8%	\$57,739	91.2%
PGE EMPLOYEE CANDIDATE ASSISTANCE	\$51,350	\$21,800	42.5%	\$29,550	57.5%
OR BANKERS PAC	\$40,750	\$18,000	44.2%	\$22,750	55.8%
OREGONIANS FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING	\$39,568	\$8,068	20.4%	\$31,500	79.6%
PHILIP MORRIS USA	\$37,500	\$10,000	26.7%	\$27,500	73.3%
OR NURSE PAC	\$35,765	\$29,515	82.5%	\$6,250	17.5%
2004	Total \$	\$ to Dem	% to Dem	\$ to Rep	% to Rep
AGC COMMITTEE FOR ACTION	\$101,865	\$42,265	41.5%	\$59,600	58.5%
OR HEALTH CARE ASSOCIATION PAC	\$88,810	\$23,660	26.6%	\$65,150	73.4%
OR LOCAL GROCERY COMMITTEE	\$73,860	\$2,360	3.2%	\$71,500	96.8%
OR AUTO DEALERS ASSOCIATION	\$73,458	\$10,275	14.0%	\$63,182	86.0%
OR RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION PAC	\$61,970	\$9,770	15.8%	\$52,200	84.2%
PGE EMPLOYEE CANDIDATE ASSISTANCE	\$40,990	\$18,690	45.6%	\$22,300	54.4%
OREGONIANS FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING	\$40,550	\$3,050	7.5%	\$37,500	92.5%
OR TRIAL LAWYERS ASSOCIATION PAC	\$36,500	\$26,500	72.6%	\$10,000	27.4%
LIBERTY NORTHWEST	\$34,940	\$14,940	42.8%	\$20,000	57.2%
CREDIT UNION LEGISLATIVE ACTION FUND	\$30,870	\$13,070	42.3%	\$17,800	57.7%
2006	Total \$	\$ to Dem	% to Dem	\$ to Rep	% to Rep
AGC COMMITTEE FOR ACTION	\$166,000	\$65,000	39.2%	\$101,000	60.8%
OR HEALTH CARE ASSOCIATION PAC	\$102,230	\$36,230	35.4%	\$66,000	64.6%
OR LOCAL GROCERY COMMITTEE	\$81,890	\$5,000	6.1%	\$76,890	93.9%
PHILIP MORRIS USA INC/ALTRIA	\$76,000	\$9,500	12.5%	\$66,500	87.5%
OR AUTO DEALERS ASSOCIATION	\$67,701	\$4,684	6.9%	\$63,017	93.1%
OR TRIAL LAWYERS ASSOCIATION PAC	\$67,500	\$52,500	77.8%	\$15,000	22.2%
OR RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION PAC	\$67,460	\$9,311	13.8%	\$58,150	86.2%
OREGONIANS FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING	\$64,750	\$13,250	20.5%	\$51,500	79.5%
OR BANKERS PAC	\$42,950	\$10,950	25.5%	\$32,000	74.5%
CREDIT UNION LEGISLATIVE ACTION FUND	\$42,130	\$20,780	49.3%	\$21,350	50.7%

2008	Total \$	\$ to Dem	% to Dem	\$ to Rep	% to Rep
AGC COMMITTEE FOR ACTION	\$117,000	\$77,500	66.2%	\$39,500	33.8%
OREGON RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION PAC	\$99,285	\$30,135	30.4%	\$69,150	69.6%
OREGON HEALTH CARE ASSOCIATION PAC	\$83,930	\$64,900	77.3%	\$19,030	22.7%
PHILIP MORRIS USA	\$62,000	\$13,500	21.8%	\$48,500	78.2%
OREGON REALTORS PAC	\$55,400	\$36,000	65.0%	\$19,400	35.0%
OREGON BANKERS PAC	\$53,680	\$28,000	52.2%	\$25,680	47.8%
OREGON FOREST INDUSTRIES COUNCIL PAC	\$50,500	\$5,000	9.9%	\$45,500	90.1%
OREGONIANS FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING	\$49,980	\$28,500	57.0%	\$21,480	43.0%
CREDIT UNION LEGISLATIVE ACTION FUND	\$45,100	\$28,050	62.2%	\$17,050	37.8%
CONFEDERATED TRIBES OF GRAND RONDE	\$40,090	\$20,000	49.9%	\$20,090	50.1%

Table 4: 60-40 Double Givers Making Relatively Equal Contributions to Each Party

2000	Total Contr.	\$ to Dem	% to Dem	\$ to Rep	% to Rep
ANHEUSER BUSCH	\$2,000	\$1,000	50.0%	\$1,000	50.0%
BANK OF AMERICA	\$2,000	\$1,000	50.0%	\$1,000	50.0%
COLUMBIA RIVER PILOTS	\$2,225	\$1,000	44.9%	\$1,225	55.1%
CONFEDERATED TRIBES OF THE GRAND RONDE	\$13,334	\$6,084	45.6%	\$7,250	54.4%
CONFEDERATED TRIBES OF THE UMATILLA	\$2,825	\$1,500	53.1%	\$1,325	46.9%
COSGROVE, PAUL S.	\$1,000	\$500	50.0%	\$500	50.0%
CREDIT UNION LEGISLATIVE ACTION FUND	\$26,747	\$14,062	52.6%	\$12,685	47.4%
GLAXO WELLCOME, INC.	\$2,955	\$1,350	45.7%	\$1,605	54.3%
HEALTH INSURANCE PAC	\$5,000	\$2,000	40.0%	\$3,000	60.0%
IBEW EDUCATIONAL COMMITTEE	\$42,500	\$20,000	47.1%	\$22,500	52.9%
LINDSAY, HART, NEIL & WEIGLER, LLP	\$500	\$250	50.0%	\$250	50.0%
LOW INCOME DENTAL PAC	\$4,000	\$2,000	50.0%	\$2,000	50.0%
MILLER BREWING CO.	\$5,500	\$2,500	45.5%	\$3,000	54.5%
OR AEA PAC	\$16,070	\$6,500	40.4%	\$9,570	59.6%
OR ASSOCIATION OF BROADCASTERS PAC	\$2,600	\$1,300	50.0%	\$1,300	50.0%
OR COUNCIL OF NECA CHAPTERS PAC	\$6,500	\$3,700	56.9%	\$2,800	43.1%
OR FINANCIAL SERVICES ASSOC	\$2,500	\$1,000	40.0%	\$1,500	60.0%
OR HOSPITAL PAC	\$5,385	\$2,350	43.6%	\$3,035	56.4%
OR MANUFACTURED HOUSING PAC	\$4,322	\$1,852	42.8%	\$2,470	57.2%
OR PHARMACISTS FUND	\$4,500	\$2,000	44.4%	\$2,500	55.6%
OR REFUSE & RECYCLING ASSOC PAC	\$5,877	\$2,527	43.0%	\$3,350	57.0%
OR WINEGROWER'S PAC	\$1,225	\$500	40.8%	\$725	59.2%
PACIFICARE OF OR INC.	\$1,850	\$800	43.2%	\$1,050	56.8%
QWEST/US WEST OR EMPLOYEES' PAC/ORE-PAC	\$8,700	\$4,050	46.6%	\$4,650	53.4%
REGISTERED DENTAL HYGIENISTS PAC	\$2,500	\$1,000	40.0%	\$1,500	60.0%
SAFEWAY, INC.	\$2,000	\$1,000	50.0%	\$1,000	50.0%
SEATTLE-NORTHWEST COMMITTEE	\$2,500	\$1,500	60.0%	\$1,000	40.0%
SPRINT NORTHWEST PAC	\$4,600	\$2,300	50.0%	\$2,300	50.0%
STANDARD INSURANCE/STAN-PAC	\$1,620	\$700	43.2%	\$920	56.8%
US BANK NATIONAL ASSOC	\$2,000	\$1,000	50.0%	\$1,000	50.0%
WASTE MANAGEMENT	\$3,500	\$2,000	57.1%	\$1,500	42.9%

2002	Total Contr.	\$ to Dem	% to Dem	\$ to Rep	% to Rep
24 HOUR FITNESS	\$3,000	\$1,500	50.0%	\$1,500	50.0%
ALASKA AIRLINES	\$4,000	\$2,000	50.0%	\$2,000	50.0%
ANDERSEN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	\$1,000	\$500	50.0%	\$500	50.0%
ATER WYNNE LLP	\$1,000	\$500	50.0%	\$500	50.0%
AVENTIS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.	\$2,500	\$1,250	50.0%	\$1,250	50.0%
BAR PILOTS OF THE COLUMBIA RIVER PAC	\$1,896	\$896	47.3%	\$1,000	52.7%
BEEF NORTHWEST FEEDERS	\$2,000	\$1,000	50.0%	\$1,000	50.0%
BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY	\$2,250	\$1,000	44.4%	\$1,250	55.6%
CHEVRONTXACO	\$3,500	\$1,500	42.9%	\$2,000	57.1%
COLUMBIA RIVER PILOTS	\$2,500	\$1,500	60.0%	\$1,000	40.0%
CONFEDERATED TRIBES OF THE GRAND RONDE	\$18,598	\$8,678	46.7%	\$9,920	53.3%
CONKLING FISKUM & MCCORMICK PAC	\$2,104	\$1,004	47.7%	\$1,100	52.3%
CONSULTING ENGINEERS COUNCIL OF OR - PAC	\$500	\$250	50.0%	\$250	50.0%
CREDIT UNION LEGISLATIVE ACTION FUND	\$32,192	\$14,942	46.4%	\$17,250	53.6%
FORESIGHT OPHTHALMOLOGY PAC	\$2,920	\$1,670	57.2%	\$1,250	42.8%
GEORGIA-PACIFIC CORP.	\$3,300	\$1,550	47.0%	\$1,750	53.0%
GLAXOSMITHKLINE	\$1,000	\$500	50.0%	\$500	50.0%
JANIK	\$1,000	\$500	50.0%	\$500	50.0%
KRAHMER, JR.	\$1,700	\$875	51.5%	\$825	48.5%
LIFEWISE HEALTH PLAN, INC.	\$1,250	\$500	40.0%	\$750	60.0%
MERCK & CO.	\$4,000	\$2,000	50.0%	\$2,000	50.0%
NATURAL GAS PAC	\$15,787	\$7,937	50.3%	\$7,850	49.7%
NORTHWEST STRATEGIES, INC.	\$2,250	\$1,250	55.6%	\$1,000	44.4%
OR ACTION COMMITTEE FOR RURAL ELECTRIFICATION	\$8,600	\$4,600	53.5%	\$4,000	46.5%
OR ASSOCIATION OF BROADCASTERS PAC	\$2,000	\$1,000	50.0%	\$1,000	50.0%
OR ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS PAC	\$14,500	\$7,000	48.3%	\$7,500	51.7%
OR BANKERS PAC	\$40,750	\$18,000	44.2%	\$22,750	55.8%
OR BUSINESS ASSOCIATION PAC	\$500	\$250	50.0%	\$250	50.0%
OR MEDICAL PAC	\$9,250	\$5,000	54.1%	\$4,250	45.9%
OR OPTOMETRIC PUBLIC AFFAIRS COUNCIL	\$2,000	\$1,000	50.0%	\$1,000	50.0%
OR ORTHOPEDIC PAC	\$2,000	\$1,000	50.0%	\$1,000	50.0%
OR TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION PAC	\$3,950	\$1,900	48.1%	\$2,050	51.9%
OR TRUCK PAC	\$1,850	\$1,100	59.5%	\$750	40.5%
OREGONIANS FOR WILDLIFE & HABITAT MANAGEMENT	\$4,000	\$2,000	50.0%	\$2,000	50.0%
PAC/WEST COMMUNICATIONS	\$3,353	\$1,578	47.1%	\$1,775	52.9%
PACIFIC NORTHWEST COOPERATIVES PAC	\$2,000	\$1,000	50.0%	\$1,000	50.0%
PACIFIC NW PAINT COUNCIL PAC	\$1,000	\$500	50.0%	\$500	50.0%
PACIFICARE/SECURE HORIZONS	\$1,250	\$500	40.0%	\$750	60.0%
PGE EMPLOYEE CANDIDATE ASSISTANCE FUND	\$51,350	\$21,800	42.5%	\$29,550	57.5%
PREMERA BLUE CROSS	\$2,500	\$1,500	60.0%	\$1,000	40.0%
QWEST OR EMPLOYEES' PAC	\$15,500	\$7,500	48.4%	\$8,000	51.6%
REGISTERED DENTAL HYGIENISTS' PAC	\$1,000	\$500	50.0%	\$500	50.0%
RPD CO.	\$303	\$162	53.3%	\$142	46.7%
SOUTHERN OR PAC	\$2,000	\$1,000	50.0%	\$1,000	50.0%
SPRINT/SPRINT EXTERNAL AFFAIRS	\$2,400	\$1,200	50.0%	\$1,200	50.0%
STANDARD INSURANCE COMPANY PAC (STATE)	\$2,500	\$1,000	40.0%	\$1,500	60.0%
THREEMILE FARM, LLC	\$14,000	\$7,000	50.0%	\$7,000	50.0%
T-MOBILE USA INC.	\$1,000	\$500	50.0%	\$500	50.0%

VERIZON EMPLOYEES GOOD GOV'T CLUB OF OR	\$3,000	\$1,500	50.0%	\$1,500	50.0%
2004	Total Contr.	\$ to Dem	% to Dem	\$ to Rep	% to Rep
AGC COMMITTEE FOR ACTION	\$101,865	\$42,265	41.5%	\$59,600	58.5%
AT&T	\$4,175	\$2,175	52.1%	\$2,000	47.9%
BOEING COMPANY (THE)	\$1,000	\$500	50.0%	\$500	50.0%
BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY	\$6,058	\$2,975	49.1%	\$3,083	50.9%
CITIZENS ALLIANCE FOR RESPONSIBLE EDUCATION	\$5,050	\$2,950	58.4%	\$2,100	41.6%
COALITION FOR A HEALTHY OR	\$8,000	\$3,500	43.8%	\$4,500	56.3%
CONFEDERATED TRIBES OF THE GRAND RONDE	\$28,250	\$14,250	50.4%	\$14,000	49.6%
CONFEDERATED TRIBES OF THE UMATILLA	\$2,250	\$1,000	44.4%	\$1,250	55.6%
CREDIT UNION LEGISLATIVE ACTION FUND	\$30,870	\$13,070	42.3%	\$17,800	57.7%
DOCTORS FOR HEALTHY COMMUNITIES	\$9,960	\$4,960	49.8%	\$5,000	50.2%
FORESIGHT OPHTHALMOLOGY PAC	\$5,980	\$3,480	58.2%	\$2,500	41.8%
GLAXO SMITH KLINE	\$5,000	\$3,000	60.0%	\$2,000	40.0%
HEALTH NET, INC.	\$9,000	\$4,000	44.4%	\$5,000	55.6%
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY	\$8,000	\$4,500	56.3%	\$3,500	43.8%
INDEPENDENCE DAY SAFETY COUNCIL PAC	\$3,920	\$1,920	49.0%	\$2,000	51.0%
JIM MARKEE & ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$200	\$100	50.0%	\$100	50.0%
KRAFT FOODS GLOBAL INC	\$2,000	\$1,000	50.0%	\$1,000	50.0%
LANG, VIRGINIA W.	\$600	\$250	41.7%	\$350	58.3%
LIBERTY NORTHWEST	\$34,940	\$14,940	42.8%	\$20,000	57.2%
MICROSOFT CORPORATION	\$7,000	\$3,500	50.0%	\$3,500	50.0%
MILLER BREWING COMPANY	\$3,500	\$1,500	42.9%	\$2,000	57.1%
MUTUAL BENEFITS CORPORATION	\$1,000	\$500	50.0%	\$500	50.0%
NATIONWIDE MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY	\$1,250	\$750	60.0%	\$500	40.0%
OR ACTION COMMITTEE FOR RURAL ELECTRIFICATION	\$8,450	\$4,250	50.3%	\$4,200	49.7%
OR ASSOCIATION OF BROADCASTERS PAC	\$4,300	\$2,100	48.8%	\$2,200	51.2%
OR BANKERS PAC	\$22,525	\$12,025	53.4%	\$10,500	46.6%
OR FINANCIAL SERVICES ASSOCIATION	\$2,000	\$1,000	50.0%	\$1,000	50.0%
OR MANUFACTURED HOUSING PAC	\$4,950	\$2,350	47.5%	\$2,600	52.5%
OR MEDICAL PAC	\$15,805	\$7,155	45.3%	\$8,650	54.7%
OR TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION PAC	\$8,360	\$3,460	41.4%	\$4,900	58.6%
PACIFICARE	\$2,750	\$1,250	45.5%	\$1,500	54.5%
PGE EMPLOYEE CANDIDATE ASSISTANCE FUND	\$40,990	\$18,690	45.6%	\$22,300	54.4%
SEATTLE-NORTHWEST COMMITTEE	\$1,250	\$500	40.0%	\$750	60.0%
SURPLUS LINE INSURANCE PAC	\$1,350	\$600	44.4%	\$750	55.6%
VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES	\$2,000	\$1,000	50.0%	\$1,000	50.0%
2006	Total Contr.	\$ to Dem	% to Dem	\$ to Rep	% to Rep
AMERICAN COUNCIL OF ENGINEERING - OR PAC	\$2,000	\$1,000	50.0%	\$1,000	50.0%
AVISTA CORPORATION	\$2,500	\$1,000	40.0%	\$1,500	60.0%
BANK OF AMERICA PAC (FEDERAL PAC)	\$2,500	\$1,000	40.0%	\$1,500	60.0%
BARENBERG, DAVID	\$515	\$265	51.5%	\$250	48.5%
BRADWOOD LANDING, LLC	\$20,000	\$10,000	50.0%	\$10,000	50.0%
CABLE OPERATORS PAC	\$16,750	\$7,000	41.8%	\$9,750	58.2%
CLEAR CHANNEL MANAGEMENT SERVICES	\$2,000	\$1,000	50.0%	\$1,000	50.0%
CONFEDERATED TRIBES OF THE GRAND RONDE	\$21,000	\$10,000	47.6%	\$11,000	52.4%
CONFEDERATED TRIBES OF THE WARM SPRINGS	\$27,140	\$13,640	50.3%	\$13,500	49.7%
CREDIT UNION LEGISLATIVE ACTION FUND	\$42,130	\$20,780	49.3%	\$21,350	50.7%
DAVE BARROWS & ASSOCIATES	\$10,000	\$5,000	50.0%	\$5,000	50.0%

FORESIGHT OPHTHALMOLOGY PAC	\$8,000	\$4,000	50.0%	\$4,000	50.0%
GREENBRIER COMPANIES (THE)	\$13,000	\$6,000	46.2%	\$7,000	53.8%
HEALTH NET, INC.	\$9,000	\$4,000	44.4%	\$5,000	55.6%
INDEPENDENCE DAY SAFETY COUNCIL	\$6,000	\$3,000	50.0%	\$3,000	50.0%
KRAFT FOODS GLOBAL INC	\$2,750	\$1,250	45.5%	\$1,500	54.5%
MARKEE & ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$610	\$250	41.0%	\$360	59.0%
NATURAL GAS PAC	\$16,750	\$8,000	47.8%	\$8,750	52.2%
NIKE INC AND AFFILIATES	\$4,442	\$2,342	52.7%	\$2,100	47.3%
OR ACTION COMMITTEE FOR RURAL ELECTRIFICATION	\$7,290	\$3,590	49.2%	\$3,700	50.8%
OR ASSOCIATION OF BROADCASTERS PAC	\$4,000	\$2,000	50.0%	\$2,000	50.0%
OR FOOD PROCESSORS FOODPAC	\$3,000	\$1,750	58.3%	\$1,250	41.7%
OR MANUFACTURED HOUSING PAC	\$9,015	\$4,765	52.9%	\$4,250	47.1%
OR NURSE ANESTHETISTS PAC	\$2,500	\$1,250	50.0%	\$1,250	50.0%
OR REFUSE AND RECYCLING ASSOCIATION PAC	\$6,830	\$3,330	48.8%	\$3,500	51.2%
OR SOFT DRINK PAC	\$1,000	\$500	50.0%	\$500	50.0%
OR TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION PAC	\$5,535	\$3,265	59.0%	\$2,270	41.0%
OWNER OPERATORS OF OR PAC (McDONALDS)	\$7,000	\$3,000	42.9%	\$4,000	57.1%
PACWEST COMMUNICATIONS	\$515	\$265	51.5%	\$250	48.5%
PERSEVERANCE STRATEGIES	\$515	\$265	51.5%	\$250	48.5%
QWEST OR EMPLOYEES' PAC	\$5,325	\$2,325	43.7%	\$3,000	56.3%
WASTE MANAGEMENT SERVICES CENTER	\$4,000	\$2,000	50.0%	\$2,000	50.0%
2008	Total	\$ to	% to	\$ to	% to
	Contr.	Dem	Dem	Rep	Rep
AMERICAN COUNCIL OF ENGINEERING - OR PAC	\$2,500	\$1,000	60.0%	\$1,500	40.0%
AMERICAN INSURANCE ASSOCIATION – OR PAC	\$1,000	\$500	50.0%	\$500	50.0%
AT&T	\$18,000	\$9,000	50.0%	\$9,000	50.0%
BRADWOOD LANDING LLC	\$22,000	\$13,000	59.1%	\$9,000	40.9%
CHEVRON CORPORATION	\$9,000	\$4,000	44.4%	\$5,000	55.6%
CITIZENS ALLIANCE FOR RESPONSIBLE EDUCATION	\$1,2500	\$750	60.0%	\$500	40.0%
COMCAST CABLE	\$10,000	\$5,000	50.0%	\$5,000	50.0%
CONFEDERATED TRIBES OF THE GRAND RONDE	\$40,090	\$20,000	49.9%	\$20,090	50.1%
CONFEDERATED TRIBES OF WARM SPRINGS	\$15,190	\$8,500	53.4%	\$7,410	46.6%
DAVE BARROWS & ASSOCIATES	\$10,000	\$5,000	50.0%	\$500	50.0%
DOUGLAS COUNTY PHYSICIANS PAC	\$6,000	\$3,500	58.3%	\$2,500	41.7%
GLAXOSMITHKLINE	\$12,000	\$7,000	58.3%	\$5,000	41.7%
HEALTH INSURANCE PAC	\$24,000	\$12,000	50.0%	\$12,000	50.0%
HEALTH NET INC	\$10,000	\$6,000	60.0%	\$4,000	40.0%
HEALTH SERVICES GROUP	\$20,000	\$10,000	50.0%	\$10,000	50.0%
HEWLETT PACKARD	\$8,000	\$4,000	50.0%	\$4,000	50.0%
KRAFT FOODS GLOBAL INC	\$1,000	\$500	50.0%	\$500	50.0%
OR ACTION COMMITTEE FOR RURAL ELECTRIFICATION	\$23,080	\$12,750	55.2%	\$10,330	44.8%
OR BANKERS PAC	\$53,680	\$28,000	52.2%	\$25,680	47.8%
OR BEER AND WINE DISTRIBUTORS ASSOCIATION	\$1,255	\$635	50.6%	\$620	49.4%
OR BUSINESS ASSOCIATION PAC	\$3,350	\$1,350	40.3%	\$2,000	59.7%
OR CATTLE PAC	\$1,000	\$500	50.0%	\$500	50.0%
OR HOSPITAL PAC	\$12,250	\$7,250	59.2%	\$5,000	40.8%
OR INSURANCE PAC	\$1,000	\$500	50.0%	\$500	50.0%
OR LANDSCAPE CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION PAC	\$550	\$250	45.5%	\$300	54.5%
OR MANUFACTURED HOUSING POLITICAL SUPPORT COMM	\$7,250	\$3,800	52.4%	\$3,450	47.6%

OR MEDICAL PAC	\$22,500	\$12,000	53.3%	\$10,500	46.7%
OR NURSERIES PAC	\$26,550	\$14,250	53.7%	\$12,300	46.3%
OR TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION PAC	\$6,850	\$3,250	47.4%	\$3,600	52.6%
OR VENDING PAC	\$1,000	\$500	50.0%	\$500	50.0%
OR WINEGROWERS' PAC	\$2,500	\$1,000	40.0%	\$1,500	60.0%
OREGONIANS FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING	\$49,980	\$28,500	57.0%	\$21,480	43.0%
OWNER OPERATORS OF OR PAC (McDONALDS)	\$11,700	\$6,000	51.3%	\$5,700	48.7%
PACIFIC NORTHWEST PAINT COUNCIL PAC	\$1,400	\$750	53.6%	\$650	46.4%
PACIFCSOURCE HEALTH PLANS	\$2,500	\$1,000	40.0%	\$1,500	60.0%
PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH AND MANUFACTURERS OF AMERICA	\$4,000	\$2,000	50.0%	\$2,000	50.0%
PONDEROSA LAND & CATTLE CO LLC	\$21,500	\$9,000	41.9%	\$12,500	58.1%
PORTLAND CEMENT ASSOCIATION	\$1,750	\$1,000	57.1%	\$750	42.9%
PROFESSIONAL ADJUSTERS INTERESTED IN DEMOCRACY	\$12,990	\$6,500	50.0%	\$6,490	50.0%
PSYCHOLOGISTS OF OREGON PAC	\$3,500	\$2,000	57.1%	\$1,500	42.9%
REGENCE BLUECROSS BLUE SHIELD OF OREGON	\$5,000	\$3,000	60.0%	\$2,000	40.0%
SAFEWAY INC	\$5,702	\$3,000	52.6%	\$2,702	47.4%
SPRINT NEXTEL	\$4,250	\$2,250	52.9%	\$2,000	47.1%
THREEMILE CANYON FARMS LLC	\$4,000	\$2,000	50.0%	\$2,000	50.0%
TROOPER PAC	\$5,634	\$3,000	53.2%	\$2,634	46.8%
UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD	\$9,000	\$5,000	55.6%	\$4,000	44.4%
VERIZON	\$7000	\$3,000	42.9%	\$4,000	57.1%
WV CROSS ENTERPRISES INC	\$500	\$250	50.0%	\$250	50.0%
WALMART	\$25,000	\$15,000	60.0%	\$10,000	40.0%
WASTE MANAGEMENT	\$12,000	\$7,000	58.3%	\$5,000	41.7%
WILLAMETTE DENTAL MANAGEMENT CORP	\$6,000	\$3,500	58.3%	\$2,500	41.7%

APPENDIX 4
LEGISLATIVE PRIMARY AND GENERAL ELECTION COMPETITION
ANALYSIS – 2002 through 2008

2002 Oregon Legislative Primary Fundraising Competition Analysis										
Competitiveness		Senate – 15 D and 15 R (30 Total)			House – 60 D and 60 R (120 Total)			Combined – 75 D and 75 R (150 Total)		
		# of Races	% of 30		# of Races	% of 120		# of Races	% of 150	
Not Contested	No Candidate	1	3%	97%	18	15%	86%	19	13%	88%
	Unopposed ¹	25	83%		76	63%		101	67%	
Contested	Drowned Out ²	2	7%		10	8%		12	8%	
	Lopsided ³	0	0%	6	5%	6	4%			
	Struggle to Keep Up ⁴	2	7%	2	2%	4	3%			
	Equal Opportunity ⁵	0	0%	8	7%	8	5%			

2004 Oregon Legislative Primary Fundraising Competition Analysis										
Competitiveness		Senate – 17 D and 17 R (34 Total)			House – 60 D and 60 R (120 Total)			Combined – 77 D and 77 R (154 Total)		
		# of Races	% of 34		# of Races	% of 120		# of Races	% of 154	
Not Contested	No Candidate	8	23%	97%	13	11%	88%	21	14%	90%
	Unopposed	24	71%		78	65%		102	66%	
Contested	Drowned Out	1	3%		14	12%		15	10%	
	Lopsided	0	0%	5	4%	5	3%			
	Struggle to Keep Up	0	0%	4	3%	4	3%			
	Equal Opportunity	1	3%	6	5%	7	4%			

2006 Oregon Legislative Primary Fundraising Competition Analysis

Competitiveness		Senate – 15 D and 15 R (30 Total)			House – 60 D and 60 R (120 Total)			Combined – 75 D and 75 R (150 Total)		
		# of Races	% of 30		# of Races	% of 120		# of Races	% of 150	
Not Contested	No Candidate	1	3%	90%	12	10%	96%	13	9%	95%
	Unopposed	26	87%		97	81%		123	82%	
Contested	Drowned Out	0	0%		6	5%		6	4%	
	Lopsided	2	7%		1	1%		3	2%	
	Struggle to Keep Up	0	0%	2	2%	2	1%			
	Equal Opportunity	1	3%	2	2%	3	2%			

2008 Oregon Legislative Primary Fundraising Competition Analysis

Competitiveness		Senate – 15 D and 15 R (30 Total)			House – 60 D and 60 R (120 Total)			Combined – 75 D and 75 R (150 Total)		
		# of Races	% of 30		# of Races	% of 120		# of Races	% of 150	
Not Contested	No Candidate	7	23%	96%	21	17%	94%	28	19%	95%
	Unopposed	19	63%		84	70%		103	69%	
Contested	Drowned Out	3	10%		8	7%		11	7%	
	Lopsided	1	3%		0	0%		1	1%	
	Struggle to Keep Up	0	0%	5	4%	5	3%			
	Equal Opportunity	0	0%	2	2%	2	1%			

2002 Oregon Legislative General Fundraising Competition Analysis

Competitiveness		Senate – (15 Total)			House – (60 Total)			Combined – (75 Total)		
		# of Races	% of 15		# of Races	% of 60		# of Races	% of 75	
Not Contested	Unopposed	1	7%	27%	6	10%	73%	7	9%	64%
	Drowned Out	3	20%		38	63%		41	55%	
Contested	Lopsided	1	7%	2	3%	3	4%			
	Struggle to Keep Up	4	27%	5	8%	9	12%			
	Equal Opportunity	6	40%	9	15%	15	20%			

2004 Oregon Legislative General Fundraising Competition Analysis

Competitiveness		Senate – (17 Total)			House – (60 Total)			Combined – (77 Total)		
		# of Races	% of 17		# of Races	% of 60		# of Races	% of 77	
Not Contested	Unopposed	4	24%	65%	6	10%	68%	10	13%	68%
	Drowned Out	7	41%		35	58%		42	55%	
Contested	Lopsided	2	12%	5	8%	7	9%			
	Struggle to Keep Up	0	0	10	16%	10	13%			
	Equal Opportunity	4	24%	4	7%	8	10%			

2006 Oregon Legislative General Fundraising Competition Analysis

Competitiveness		Senate – (15 Total)			House – (60 Total)			Combined – (75 Total)		
		# of Races	% of 15		# of Races	% of 60		# of Races	% of 75	
Not Contested	Unopposed	1	7%	74%	6	12%	67%	8	11%	68%
	Drowned Out	10	67%		33	55%		43	57%	
Contested	Lopsided	1	7%		4	7%		5	7%	
	Struggle to Keep Up	0	0		5	8%		5	7%	
	Equal Opportunity	3	20%		11	18%		14	19%	

2008 Oregon Legislative General Fundraising Competition Analysis

Competitiveness		Senate – (16 Total)			House – (60 Total)			Combined – (76 Total)		
		# of Races	% of 16		# of Races	% of 60		# of Races	% of 76	
Not Contested	Unopposed	8	50%	94%	19	32%	74%	27	36%	78%
	Drowned Out	7	44%		25	42%		32	42%	
Contested	Lopsided	1	6%		4	7%		5	7%	
	Struggle to Keep Up	0	0		6	10%		6	8%	
	Equal Opportunity	0	0		6	10%		6	8%	

¹Unopposed – Only 1 candidate on the ballot

²Drowned Out – Financial underdog has 25 % or less in contributions as opponent

³Lopsided – Financial underdog has 25% – 50% in contributions as opponent

⁴Struggle to Keep Up – Financial underdog has 50% - 75% in contributions as opponent

⁵Equal Opportunity – Financial underdog has 75% or more in contributions as opponent



Democracy Reform Oregon

PO Box 2723, Portland, OR 97208
503/283-1922 * 503/283-1877 (fax)
www.democracyreform.org

Accessible politics, accountable government

Campaign Contributions about Access, not Agreement Analysis of November Post-Election Campaign Contributions and Hedged Bets in Oregon's 2006 Legislative Elections

By Sarah Wetherson and Janice Thompson

Summary

Patterns in post-election contributions made after the November general election suggest that the desire for access, not agreement on issues, drives many political contributions.

Contributions from non-candidate and non-party PACs in the post-election periods of 2004 and 2006 mirror the change in House leadership from Republican to Democratic hands. Democrats raised \$9 for every \$1 Republicans raised in the final weeks of 2006.

In addition, there are significant post-election "wait and see" contributions from donors who waited until the campaign was over and then gave to the winner of the legislative race.

"Oops, the other guy won" contributions — those made to the winning candidate after the donor contributed to the opponent's campaign before Election Day — suggest that factors other than agreement with the candidate on issues lead to some contributions.

Finally, some donors hedged their bets, making sure that they would keep in the good graces of

either candidate regardless of who won, by giving money to both candidates in a legislative contest.

These patterns of post-election campaign contributions appear to be more about access than agreement on issues or interest in a particular candidate and illustrate the need for comprehensive campaign finance reform that in Oregon includes both contribution limits and public financing reform that empowers small donors. Oregon is one of a handful of states with no limits on either the size or the source of contributions to political candidates.

This analysis of post-election campaign contributions excludes contributions from other candidates and party PACs that often reflect the desire of long-term political allies to help candidates retire campaign debt. Instead, this analysis focuses on the role of contributions from other donors whose post-election contributions differ from previous giving patterns and appear to be more about laying groundwork for legislative lobbying. (See page 6 for full discussion of methodology.)

Findings

Keeping the party in power happy

In 2004, when Republicans maintained leadership of the Oregon House and lost leadership in the Oregon Senate, nearly 58 percent of contributions from non-candidate

and non-party PACs given after the 2004 election through the end of that November went to Republicans.

The most recent elections showed a mirror image of this giving pattern. In 2006, when Republicans lost leadership of the Oregon House and Democrats retained control in the Oregon Senate, nearly 59 percent of contributions from non-candidate and non-party PACs given during the month after the election went to Democrats. (See Chart 1.)

From November 28, 2006 through the end of the year, contribution patterns show an even stronger tendency toward non-ideological giving. Democratic legislators-elect, who were now organizing their leadership teams, garnered more than \$9 of every \$10 disclosed on the supplemental reports. (See Chart 2.)

Wait and see contributions

Another indication that donors have something other than electing a particular candidate on their mind are “wait and see” contributions. These are post-election contributions to the winner made by donors whose only check in a race was written after the election outcome was clear. These donations account for 40 percent or \$155,101 of the \$385,038 dollars legislative candidates raised from non-candidate and non-party PACs after the election through the end of 2006.

Some of the recipients of “wait and see” donations were in tight legislative races. Others were anticipated to be in a leadership or key budget committee position once election results were known. Other recipients were in

These contribution patterns indicate an interest in access to the party in power with a significant shift in contribution recipients between this period in 2004 compared to the same timeframe in 2006. This change in contributions patterns appears directly linked to a change in House leadership after the 2006 election.

“I see a clear preference on the part of post-election donors to contribute money to the folks who will control the legislative agenda,” said Janice Thompson, executive director of Democracy Reform Oregon. “Many donors appear to use their contributions more to gain access to legislators than to express ideological approval of a candidate’s campaign positions.”

uncompetitive races where it wasn’t critical to donate prior to election day, but for whom money could be found for donations after they won.

The top “wait and see” donor was Anheuser-Busch giving \$10,500 to four winners only after the election. Container Recovery made 18 “wait and see” contributions of \$5,500 that represented 56 percent of its total legislative contributions. The National Association of Chain Drug Stores only contributions to legislative candidates were “wait and see” donations giving \$4,000 to 7 winners after election day. (See Chart 3.)

“Oops, the other guy won” contributions

“Oops” contributions are post-election contributions to legislative winners from donors who gave to the losing opponent before the election. “Oops, the other guy won” contributions totaled 15 percent or \$58,250 of the \$385,038 total given by non-candidate and non-party PACs to legislative candidates from November 8 through December 31, 2006. (\$385,038 is the 2006 total, \$210,549, in chart 1 plus the chart 2 total of \$174,489.)

For example, Senator Vicki Walker received \$5,000 from Oregon Forest Industries Council (OFIC) PAC on December 7, 2006. Her opponent had received \$50,000 from OFIC PAC in two contributions in September 2006. (See Chart 4 for more detail.)

“People assume that donors give to candidates because they want to see them elected. ‘Oops, the other guy won’ contributions – money that comes after the election from a donor who

previously gave to a winning candidate's opponent –suggest that some donors are giving

to get something other than the election of a candidate they support.” said Thompson.

Hedging bets contributions

Finally, donors sometimes hedge their bets, giving to both candidates during the course of a campaign. This ensures that they are in the good graces of the winner, no matter who succeeds at the ballot.

Oregon PAC, which represents McDonalds franchisees, hedged its bets on the largest number of candidates, giving money to both sides of five legislative match-ups before Election Day. Cable Operators PAC gave to both sides in two legislative races.. (See Chart 5.)

In 2006, “bet hedgers” contributed \$23,985 to legislative candidates. Owner Operators of

Chart 1: 2004 and 2006 Post-Election Contributions to Legislative Candidates from Non-candidate and Non-party PACs

Party	2004 Total	2004 Percentage	2006 Total	2006 Percentage
Democratic	\$59,192	42%	\$123,505	59%
Republican	\$82,188	58%	\$87,045	41%
Total	\$141,380	100%	\$210,549	100.0%

Democracy Reform Oregon analysis based on data reported to the Secretary of State from November 3, 2004 through November 22, 2004 (for 2004 figures) and from November 7, 2006 through November 27, 2006 (for 2006 figures). Figures for 2004 are adjusted for inflation to 2006 dollars.

Chart 2: 2006 “Post Election Supplement” Contributions to Legislators from Non-candidate and Non-party PACs

Party	Total	Percentage
Democratic	\$159,389	91%
Republican	\$15,100	9%
Total	\$174,489	100%

Democracy Reform Oregon analysis based on data reported to the Secretary of State from November 28, 2006 through December 31, 2006.

Chart 3: “Wait and See” Contributions to 2006 Legislators Made After Election Day with Detail of Donors that Gave \$2,000 or more to Three or More Candidates

Donor	Number of Contributions	Average Contribution	Total
Anheuser-Busch Co	4	\$2,625	\$10,500
Fred Myers/Kroger	8	\$1,125	\$9,000
Davis Wright Tremaine Client PAC	3	\$2,333	\$7,000
Container Recovery (recycling of deposit containers)	18	\$306	\$5,500
Oregon Beverage PAC	3	\$1,833	\$5,500
Oregon Council of NECA Chapters (electrical contractors)	9	\$556	\$5,000
Amylin Pharmaceuticals	5	\$900	\$4,500
Oregon Bankers PAC	5	\$800	\$4,000
National Association of Chain Drug Stores	7	\$571	\$4,000
Oregon Hospital PAC	5	\$750	\$3,750
Oregon Medical PAC	5	\$700	\$3,500
Bristol Myers Squibb Company (pharmaceuticals)	11	\$273	\$3,000
Healthnet Inc	5	\$600	\$3,000
Oregon Action Committee for Rural Electrification	4	\$750	\$3,000
Oregon Forest Industries Council PAC	3	\$1,000	\$3,000
Oregonians for Affordable Housing	5	\$500	\$2,500
Spring Northwest PAC	3	\$833	\$2,500
Subtotal	102	\$775	\$79,000
Other “Wait and See” Contributions	111	\$686	\$76,101
Grand Total	213	\$726	\$155,101

Total 2006 Post-Election Day Contributions = \$385,038 \$210,549 from 2006 total in chart 1 and \$174,489 from total in chart 2	\$155,101 of “Wait and See” Contributions = 40% of \$385,038
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------

"Wait and See" contributions are defined as those given to winning candidates only after Election Day. Democracy Reform Oregon compared contributions received before or on Election Day (November 7, 2006), as reported on the 2006 primary and general election disclosure reports, with contributions received after Election Day through the end of 2006, as reported on the post-general election and the post-general election supplement reports.

Chart 4: “Oops, the Other Guy Won” Contributions in 2006 Election

“Oops” Donors	Winning Candidates (Number in parentheses are contributions to winner’s opponent before election day)									
	Rep. Suzanne Bonamici	Rep. Brian Clem	Rep. Jean Cowan	Rep. Chris Edwards	Rep. David Edwards	Rep. Larry Galizio	Rep. Tobias Read	Rep. Chuck Riley	Sen. Vicki Walker	“Oops” Donor Total
Associated General Contractors Committee for Action			\$1000 (\$6000)							\$1000 (\$6000)
Associated Oregon Industries PAC		\$1000 (\$6000)		\$1000 (\$20,000)	\$1000 (\$10,500)					\$3000 (\$36,500)
Associated Oregon Loggers, Inc., PAC				\$1000 (\$13,000)						\$1000 (\$13,000)
Credit Union Legislative Action Fund		\$2500 (\$2500)		\$1500 (\$6000)	\$1500 (\$1500)					\$5500 (\$10,000)
Dentists of Oregon PAC	\$500 (\$500)									\$500 (\$500)
LodgePAC			\$1000 (\$1000)	\$1000 (\$1500)	\$1000 (\$1000)	\$1000 (\$1000)		\$1000 (\$1000)		\$5000 (\$5500)
Oregon Action Committee for Rural Electrification			\$1000 (\$1500)	\$2000 (\$1000)						\$3000 (\$2500)
Oregon AFSCME Council 75			\$1000 (\$1000)							\$1000 (\$1000)
Oregon Beverage PAC			\$1000 (\$15,000)	\$1000 (\$6000)		\$1000 (\$16,000)		\$1000 (\$5000)		\$4000 (\$42,000)
Oregon Forest Industries Council PAC				\$1000 (\$17,500)					\$5000 (\$50,000)	\$6000 (\$67,500)
Oregon Health Care Association			\$1000 (\$4529)	\$1000 (\$6577)		\$5000 (\$12,372)				\$7000 (\$23,478)
Oregon Medical Association PAC			\$2000 (\$2000)	\$1000 (\$1000)						\$3000 (\$3000)
Oregon Nurses PAC				\$2500 (\$2250)						\$2500 (\$2250)
Oregon Optometric Public Affairs Council		\$1000 (\$2000)	\$500 (\$1000)							\$1500 (\$3000)
Oregon Association of Realtors Political Action Committee		\$1000 (\$6250)				\$1000 (\$5500)		\$1000 (\$5000)	\$1500 (\$7000)	\$4500 (\$23,750)
Oregon Restaurant Association PAC				\$1000 (\$9742)	\$1000 (\$10,500)		\$1000 (\$10,000)	\$1000 (\$15,000)		\$4000 (\$43,242)
Oregon State Fire Fighters Council		\$1500 (\$1000)		\$1500 (\$1000)						\$3000 (\$2000)
Pacificorp			\$250 (\$1000)							\$250 (\$1000)
Qwest Oregon Employees’ Political Action Committee		\$500 (\$500)	\$500 (\$500)	\$500 (\$1000)					\$1000 (\$500)	\$2500 (\$2000)
Total	\$500 (\$500)	\$7500 (\$18,250)	\$9250 (\$33,529)	\$16,000 (\$86,569)	\$4500 (\$23,500)	\$8000 (\$34,872)	\$1000 (\$10,000)	\$4000 (\$26,000)	\$7500 (\$57,500)	\$58,250 (\$290,720)

“Oops, the Other Guy Won” contributions are defined as those given to a winning candidate after Election Day by a donor who had not previously contributed to the winner but had previously backed the opponent. Democracy Reform Oregon compared contributions received before and on Election Day (November 7, 2006), as reported on 2006 primary and general election disclosure reports, with contributions received after Election Day through the end of 2006, as reported on the post-general election and the post-general election supplement reports.

Chart 5: Hedging Bets – Contributions made to both candidates during the election

"Hedging Bets" Donors	Winning Candidates (Numbers in parentheses are contributions to winner's opponents. All were made before election day)								
	Rep. Brian Clem	Rep. Larry Galizio	Sen. Rick Metsger	Sen. Frank Morse	Rep. Tobias Read	Rep. Chuck Riley	Rep. Arnie Roblan	Sen. Vicki Walker	"Hedging" Donor Total
Associated General Contractors Committee for Action					\$250 (\$250)				\$250 (\$250)
AFT-Oregon COPE PAC				\$500 (\$250)					\$500 (\$250)
Owner Operators of Oregon Political Action Committee	\$500 (\$800)		\$2000 (\$1000)			\$600 (\$600)	\$500 (\$500)	\$1000 (\$1000)	\$4600 (\$3900)
Cable Operators Political Action Committee		\$750 (\$500)						\$186 (\$1250)	\$936 (\$1750)
Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde					\$250 (\$750)				\$250 (\$750)
Container Recovery, Inc.								\$250 (\$250)	\$250 (\$250)
Dentists of Oregon PAC					\$500 (\$500)				\$500 (\$500)
Oregon Business Association PAC								\$2000 (\$2000)	\$2000 (\$2000)
Oregon Health Care Association PAC					\$1500 (\$2299)				\$1500 (\$2299)
ParkPAC								\$250 (\$500)	\$250 (\$500)
Veterinarians Organized to Elect			\$250 (\$250)						\$250 (\$250)
Total	\$500 (\$800)	\$750 (\$500)	\$2250 (\$1250)	\$500 (\$250)	\$2500 (\$3799)	\$600 (\$600)	\$500 (\$500)	\$3686 (\$5000)	\$11,286 (\$12,699)

"Hedging Bets" contributions are defined as those given to both winning and losing candidates prior to Election Day. Democracy Reform Oregon analyzed contributions received before and on Election Day (November 7, 2006), as reported on 2006 general election disclosure reports.

Methodology

Democracy Reform Oregon examined select contribution data from candidates filed with the Secretary of State's office in 2004 and 2006. The post-election comparison between 2004 and 2006 covers data reported from the day after each general Election Day through the end of the official reporting period for each year (see chart 1).

Democracy Reform Oregon separately examined contributions reported on the "supplement to the post election" report for 2006, covering the period of November 28, 2006 through December 31, 2006 (see chart 2). This report was required as a transition from 2006 campaign finance reporting to the beginning of ORESTAR in January 2007.

Analyses that compare pre- and post-election contributions in 2006 (see charts 3 and 4) include data from first and second pre-election and post-election reports for both the primary and general elections, as

well as contributions reported on the "supplement to the post-election" report.

This analysis of post-election campaign contributions excludes contributions from other candidates and party PACs that often reflect the desire of long-term political allies to help candidates retire campaign debt. Instead, this analysis focuses on the role of contributions from other donors whose post-election contributions differ from previous giving patterns and appear to be more about laying groundwork for legislative lobbying.

All figures for comparisons of contributions given to the two leading candidates in a given legislative race prior to Election Day are derived from an examination of the first and second pre-election and post-election reports for the general election, and are limited to those contributions received before November 8, 2006 (see chart 5).

Who We Are

Democracy Reform Oregon is a non-partisan, not-for-profit group working to increase accountability and opportunities for participation in politics and governmental decision-making. Democracy Reform Oregon has been "following the money" and working on accountability and democracy reform issues since 1999 and was formerly the Money in Politics Research Action Project.

