Money & Competition in Massachusetts State Legislative Elections

2002

April 2003

Prepared by:
Massachusetts Money and Politics Project
Common Cause Massachusetts

Massachusetts Money and Politics Project

George Pillsbury, Director
Jeff Arp, Research Associate

37 Temple Place, 5th Floor
Boston, MA 02111
Tel. 617.542.8683 **Fax.** 617.451.7895
email: gpillsbury@massvote.net

Massachusetts Money and Politics Project does research and education on the role of money in state campaigns. It produces reports on the money contributed to candidates for Statewide Office, the State Legislature, and statewide ballot questions. The Massachusetts Money and Politics Project is a program of the Commonwealth Education Project, a 501c(3) non-partisan institute working on the issues of electoral democracy and voter participation in Massachusetts.

Common Cause Massachusetts

Pam Wilmot, Executive Director
Colin Durrant, Assistant Director

59 Temple Place, Suite 600
Boston, MA 02111
Tel. 617.426.9600 **Fax.** 617.426.6855
email: ccma@commoncause.org
webpage: www.commoncause.org/ma

Common Cause Massachusetts is a nonpartisan, nonprofit organization dedicated to citizen participation in an open, honest, and accountable government. An independent, member-supported organization, Common Cause has more than 200,000 members nationwide and 10,000 in Massachusetts.

Other resources:

Office of Campaign and Political Finance
One Ashburton Place, 4th Floor
Boston, MA 02108
Tel: 617.727.8352 **webpage:** www.state.ma.us/ocpf

Secretary of State, Elections Division
One Ashburton Place, 17th Floor
Boston, MA 02108
Tel. 617.727.2828 **webpage:** www.state.ma.us/sec/ele

Money & Competition in
Massachusetts State Legislative Elections

Table of Contents

Methodology……..….....i

Summary of Findings……..…….....1

Introduction…….……..2-3

❖ **Competition in State Legislative Races**
- No Contest, No Competition……6
- Legislative Competition: National Rank.…….……7
- How Incumbents Fared……8

❖ **Money in State Legislative Races**
- Winners Outspend Losers……9
- Challengers, Money and Campaign Competition……10
- Incumbent Campaign Warchests……..11-12

❖ **Appendix:**
- Appendix A: Off-Year Spending
- Appendix B: Campaign Spending: 1994 - 2002
- Appendix C: Campaign Finance Rankings by State Senate District
- Appendix D: Campaign Finance Rankings by State Representative District

Methodology

All the data for this report is based on public files available through the Office of Campaign and Political Finance at One Ashburton Place, Boston, MA. Much of the 2002 data is accessible on the internet at www.state.ma.us/ocpf. Most data is readily available under the View Reports option at the electronic filing home page – selecting House or Senate for office sought. The reports are ordered by House or Senate district.

The data highlights fundraising and spending during the 2002 election year. The charts and findings primarily study *contested elections* in the general election and, in some cases, in competitive primary elections as well.

General Election Contests: An election is considered contested if there is a contest between the two major parties or if a there is a competitive third party candidate receiving 35% or more of the vote.

Primary Election Contests: A primary election is considered contested if it is competitive – where the loser received 35% or more of the vote or, in a race of three candidates or more, where the closest loser was within 20 percentage points of the winner.

The first three tables examine competition in the general election. By examining the general elections we are able to compare Massachusetts to other states.

When considering the role of money in campaigns we also examine competitive primaries that, because the winner went unopposed in the general, decided the race. This helps us get an overall sense of how much money it takes to be competitive or win a Massachusetts legislative election where, in certain districts, primaries attracting even higher spending than generals.

Summary of Findings

This report reviews the level of competition and the role of money in the 2002 state legislative elections. Overall, the study confirms trends of low competition, significant incumbent advantage and the difficulty most challengers and new candidates encounter raising campaign funds necessary to run competitive and winning elections or even deciding to run. It found that:

Competition

- For the fourth straight election, two-thirds of legislative general elections went uncontested.
- The large majority of incumbents (67%) had no competition in either the primary or general election.
- 97% of all incumbents were re-elected.
- Massachusetts is at the bottom of legislative competition in the country, ranking second to last nationally in the percentage of legislative seats contested in the November general election.

Money

- Winners outspent losers. Winners had, on average, a two-to-one spending advantage over their opponents.
- Losers and challengers had difficulty raising campaign funds. Of the 171 losing candidates, *only 17* raised and spent as much as the average cost of winning a House or Senate election.
- The more money a candidate had raised, the more likely they were to be competitive. Losers winning at least 35% of the vote raised and spent three times as much as non-competitive losers.
- Candidates with a financial advantage won. Candidates able to outspend their opponent by 20% or more won nine out of ten elections.
- Incumbents with the largest campaign war chests – the greatest amount of money in their campaign accounts at the start of the election year – were least likely to have a challenger. In the House, incumbents with war chests less than $30,000 were twice as likely to face a challenger as incumbents with war chests greater than $30,000.

Introduction

In a representative democracy, public trust in government is inevitably built on the accountability of elected legislators to the wishes of their constituents. The foundation of this accountability is elections. Elections are the vehicle by which incumbent representatives campaign on the issues and merits of their service to the voters they represent. Elections provide voters the necessary opportunity to affirm their support, qualify their support, or choose an alternative.

Without campaigns and elections accountability does not exist. But even more is lost. We also lose the opportunity – fundamental to a healthy democracy – for citizens to participate in elective politics, hear debate of the issues, and engage in this essential form of civic education. The result is a declining number of voters, particularly among young people, who, without choices on the ballot, believe their vote does not count.

This report documents the troubling state of electoral democracy in Massachusetts – highlighted by the lack of meaningful elections for our state legislature.

- When two-thirds of legislative elections went uncontested in November, voters are denied meaningful choices for their legislative representation on election day.
- When the large majority of incumbents face no real opponent in a primary or general election, they do not have to defend their records, debate any opponent, or campaign in any meaningful way.
- When Massachusetts again finds itself at the bottom of the list of legislative competition across the country. Once the cradle of democracy, we are becoming its museum instead.

This report highlights the central role that money plays in politics and the resulting lack of contests and competition. Certainly, there are other factors that give disproportionate advantage to incumbents and limit new candidates, such as legislative redistricting or limit new candidates. But as these tables and findings suggest, the continued reliance on a private system of campaign finance is the primary factor that provides disproportionate advantages to incumbents,

disadvantages to challengers, and discourages those without access to campaign donations from running for office.

As the legislature considers its vote to repeal the new clean elections law that provides limited public funding to legislative candidates, it is making an important decision about the future of Massachusetts democracy. Will we maintain a broken system of privately-funded campaigns that limits competition, favors incumbents and discourages new candidates and challengers? Or, will we restore competition, accountability and public debate to Massachusetts politics?

Evidence from other states that provide public funding for legislative campaigns -- such as Maine, Minnesota and Arizona -- show that incumbents still fare well because voters will only reject them for strong reasons. Competition, accountability and meaningful voter participation are what are at stake -- having to campaign and go before the voters to defend their records, discuss the issues, and engage in public debate. This report, like our previous reports on state elections, underscores that this is, in significant part, what meaningful campaign reform is about – to have a democracy with contested elections on a level playing field – or not.

Competition In State Legislative Races

- No Contest, No Competition
- Legislative Competition: National Rank
- How Incumbents Fared

Money in State Legislative Races

- Winners Outspend Losers
- Challengers, Money and Campaign Competition
- Incumbent Campaign Warchests
- Incumbent Warchest – Part 2

NO CONTESTS, NO COMPETITION

Finding: Two of three legislative seats went uncontested in 2002 .

TABLE 1.

% LEGISLATIVE RACES CONTESTED IN 2002 ELECTION



- For the fourth straight time, only one-third of legislative races were contested in the November election.
- Overall competition increased slightly over 2000, largely due to five redistricted open seats.
- More third party candidates ran in 2002 than in previous years, but only three – two Green Party candidates and an independent, ran competitive races. Only one won.
- Primary competition was also low. Less than 10% of possible major party primaries had a contest. 13 of the primaries decided the seat, as the winner faced no general election opponent.

Table 2.

% of Massachusetts Legislative Races Contested: 1990 - 2002



LEGISLATIVE COMPETITION: national rank

Finding: For the third straight election, Massachusetts ranked second to last in the nation in its percentage of contested state legislative elections.

Table 3.

State	Total seats	% Contested	Nat'l Rank
Michigan	148	100%	1
Minnesota	201	94%	2
New Hampshire	424	92%	3
No.Dakota	75	88%	4
California	100	85%	4
Maine	186	85%	4
Ohio	116	83%	7
Hawaii	76	79%	8
Oregon	75	77%	9
Connecticut	187	74%	10
Montana	125	73%	11
Nevada	53	72%	12
Missouri	180	72%	12
Idaho	105	70%	14
Colorado	82	70%	14
Maryland	188	69%	16
New York	212	67%	17
West Virginia	117	67%	17
Washington	122	66%	19
Georgia	203	64%	20
Utah	91	64%	20
So. Dakota	105	62%	22
Pennsylvania	228	61%	23
No.Carolina	170	59%	24
Illinois	177	59%	24
Vermont	180	58%	26
Indiana	149	57%	27
Wisconsin	114	57%	27
Tennessee	116	55%	29
Alaska	57	54%	30
Alabama	140	51%	31
Arizona	90	50%	32
Delaware	62	50%	32
Oklahoma	125	50%	32
Iowa	149	49%	35
Rhode Island	113	48%	36
Kansas	125	44%	37
Wyoming	75	44%	37
Texas	181	44%	37
New Mexico	70	43%	40
Kentucky	119	38%	41
Florida	160	35%	42
MASSACHUSETTS	200	33%	43
Arkansas	135	33%	43
So.Carolina	124	28%	45

Source: *Ballot Access News*, San Francisco. *Commonwealth Magazine*: January 2003. Does not include 5 states with elections in odd year or every four years. These states have, in the past, had more competition. *Ballot Access News* considered race contested if there is a contest between the two major parties. This report adds three more races to Massachusetts totals that featured competitive third parties. Otherwise, Massachusetts is second to last.

HOW INCUMBENTS FARED

Finding: More than two-thirds of incumbents had no challenger in the 2002 election. When challenged, 97% won re-election.

TABLE 4.

	Incumbents Seeking Re-election	Incumbents Re-elected	Incumbents with Free Ride
HOUSE	**90%** 144 of 160	**96%** 138 of 144	**65%** 93 of 144
SENATE	**98%** 39 of 40	**100%** 39 of 39	**69%** 27 of 39

- ⇨ The large majority of incumbents – 66% -- had a completely "free ride" -- no contest nor any need to campaign in the primary or general election.
- ⇨ According to data compiled by U.S. PIRG over a 20-year period, Massachusetts ranked number one for incumbent re-election rate and 8th in overall non-competitiveness.
- ⇨ No State Senator lost a seat in this election or any election in the past 8 years.
- ⇨ Of the 144 House incumbents who ran in the last election, only 6 lost. Many of them faced significant changes in their district due to redistricting.

WINNERS OUTSPEND LOSERS

Finding: Winners of contested legislative races outspent their opponent by about 2-1.

TABLE 5.

AVERAGE SPENDING IN 2002 CONTESTED HOUSE ELECTIONS



AVERAGE SPENDING IN 2002 CONTESTED SENATE ELECTIONS



- House winners in contested elections had, on average, a 89% spending advantage over their losing opponents. Senate winners had more than a two-to-one spending advantage over their opponent.

- Losers struggle to raise funds. Of the 171 losers of contested legislative elections, only 18 raised as much as the average amount spent in a winning House or Senate race.

- Spending on legislative races was down from the 2000 election, though still higher than any election cycle during the 1990's (*Chart in Appendix A, page 14*). This may reflect a weaker economy, lack of competition, or record fundraising for statewide offices.

CHALLENGERS, MONEY and CAMPAIGN COMPETITION

Finding: Challengers need money and a level playing field to be competitive . . . and to have a chance of winning.

TABLE 6.

AVERAGE CHALLENGER SPENDING IN 2002 HOUSE ELECTIONS



AVERAGE CHALLENGER SPENDING IN 2002 SENATE ELECTIONS



⇨ Challengers needed to raise at least $21,000, on average, to run a competitive race against a legislative incumbent in the House; Senate challengers needed at least $31,000 to be electorally competitive.

TABLE 7.

FINANCIAL ADVANTAGE WINS

- In the 2002 election, 9 out of 10 candidates in the general election who outspent their opponent by 20% or more won.
- Every incumbent with a spending advantage won.
- Of the four candidates winning at a financial disadvantage, three won narrowly. (Reps. Patrick, T. Speliotis, and Williams-Gifford).

INCUMBENT CAMPAIGN WARCHESTS

Finding: Incumbents with more money in their war chest at on January 1st of the election year were less likely to face a challenger.

> A campaign "warchest" is the amount of money incumbents have in their campaign account on January 1st of the election year. Campaign warchests are accumulated over election cycles where the incumbent may be uncontested, as well as from fundraising during the off-election year.

TABLE 8.

AVERAGE HOUSE WARCHEST AS OF JANUARY 1ST 2002



AVERAGE SENATE WARCHEST AS OF JANUARY 1ST 2002



- ⇨ Uncontested House and Senate incumbents had as much money in their campaign account on January 1st of the election year as the cost of an average campaign.
- ⇨ Of House incumbents with $30,000 or more in their war chest, only 15% faced challengers. Of the House incumbents with war chests less than $30,000, 32% -- more than twice as many -- had a challenger.

Incumbent Warchests - Part 2

TOP 10 HOUSE WARCHESTS GOING INTO 2002

RANK	AMOUNT	NAME	PARTY/DISTRICT	ELECTION CONTEST	2002 LDSHP*
1	$508,922	Finneran, Thomas M.	D - 12th Suffolk	None	Speaker
2	$148,033	Binienda, John J. Sr.	D – 17th Worcester	None	Chair
3	$147,146	Sullivan, Joseph C.	D - 5th Norfolk	None	Chair
4	$132,841	Dimasi, Salvatore F.	D - 3rd Suffolk	None	Maj. Whip
5	$113,761	Mariano, Ronald	D - 3rd Norfolk	None	Chair
6	$111,533	Cabral, Antonio F. D.	D – 13th Bristol	None	Chair
7	$106,529	Kaprielian, Rachel	D – 29th Middlesex	None	-
8	$102,000	Koutoujian, Peter J.	D – 10th Middlesex	None	Vice Chair
9	$95,381	Rogers, John H.	D – 12th Norfolk	None	Chair
10	$89,385	Quinn, John F.	D - 9th Bristol	None	Chair

TOP 10 SENATE WARCHESTS GOING INTO 2002

RANK	AMOUNT	NAME	PARTY/DISTRICT	ELECTION CONTEST	2002 LDSHP*
1	$766,744	Montigny, Mark C.	D - 2nd Bristol & Plymouth	None	Chair
2	$206,501	Jacques, Cheryl Ann	D - Norfolk, Bristol & Middlesex	General Election	Chair
3	$185,896	Barrios, Jarrett	D - Middlesex, Suffolk & Essex	Open seat	-
4	$180,794	Morrissey, Michael	D - Norfolk & Plymouth	None	Chair
5	$161,002	Brewer, Stephen M.	D - Worc,Hampden,Hamps&Frank	None	Chair
6	$131,233	Pacheco, Marc R.	D - 1st Plymouth & Bristol	None	Chair
7	$126,849	Berry, Frederick B.	D - 2nd Essex	None	Vice Chair
8	$112,541	Glodis, Guy W.	D - 2nd Worcester	None	Chair
9	$111,200	Melconian, Linda C.	D – Hampden	None	Maj. Ldr.
10	$101,715	Travaglini, Robert	D -1st Suffolk & Middlesex	None	Maj. Whip

* 2002 Ldshp was the incumbent's leadership position during the 2001-2002 election cycle.

Money & Competition in
Massachusetts State Legislative Elections 2002

Appendix

APPENDIX A: Off Year Spending

OFF YEAR SPENDING BY INCUMBENTS
1995-2001



APPENDIX B: Campaign Spending 1994-2002

AVERAGE SPENDING BY HOUSE WINNERS
1994-2002



AVERAGE SPENDING BY SENATE WINNERS
1994-2002



Appendix C: Campaign Finance Rankings for 2002 Senate Candidates by Legislative District

District		Name	Pty Affil	Status	PW	GW	Warchest 1/1/02	Rank	Receipts 2002	Rank	Expenditures 2002	Rank
	Berk, Hampd, Hamps & Frank	Foley, William P	U	C	U	L	$0	-	$0	-	$0	-
	Berk, Hampd, Hamps & Frank	Nuciforo, Jr., Andrea F.	D	I	U	W	$43,053	20	$30,176	41	$41,048	33
	Bristol & Norfolk	Sprague, Jo Ann	R	I	U	U	$2,235	35	$977	58	$265	58
1st	Bristol & Plymouth	Menard, Joan	D	I	U	U	$32,301	24	$41,900	30	$30,162	41
2nd	Bristol & Plymouth	Montigny, Mark C.	D	I	U	U	$763,709	1	$155,517	5	$92,430	16
	Cape & Islands	Boardman, Mark	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$26,286	42	$30,559	40
	Cape & Islands	O'Leary, Robert	D	I	U	W	$806	36	$36,765	36	$27,738	42
1st	Essex	Baddour, Steven	D	I	U	U	$6,869	34	$59,531	24	$34,545	38
2nd	Essex	Berry, Frederick B.	D	I	U	U	$126,624	6	$39,251	32	$92,464	15
1st	Essex & Middlesex	Armata, Andrew	D	C	W	L	$0	-	$31,475	40	$9,043	51
1st	Essex & Middlesex	Caggiano, Mark	D	C	L	-	$0	-	$6,320	52	$44,941	29
1st	Essex & Middlesex	Tarr, Bruce E.	R	I	U	W	$19,035	30	$78,723	20	$90,154	17
2nd	Essex & Middlesex	Marasco, Maria	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$37,886	33	$36,111	36
2nd	Essex & Middlesex	Tucker, Susan	D	I	U	W	$70,418	12	$57,125	25	$85,709	22
3rd	Essex & Middlesex	McGee, Tom	D	I	U	U	$0	*	$18,120	48	$20,565	47
	Hampden	Melconian, Linda C.	D	I	U	U	$111,200	8	$108,045	9	$106,658	12
1st	Hampden & Hampshire	Lees, Brian P.	R	I	U	U	$66,032	13	$94,830	14	$110,047	11
2nd	Hampden & Hampshire	Knapik, Michael R.	R	I	U	W	$22,141	27	$76,931	21	$88,377	20
2nd	Hampden & Hampshire	Szostkiewicz, Daniel	D	C	U	L	$0	-	$13,450	50	$19,326	49
	Hampshire & Franklin	Rosenberg, Stanley C.	D	I	U	U	$22,023	28	$45,757	29	$42,659	31
1st	Middlesex	Lyman, Brooks T.	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$1,858	54	$1,948	53
1st	Middlesex	Panagiotakos, Steven C.	D	I	U	W	$15,022	32	$49,627	28	$61,132	26
2nd	Middlesex	Shannon, Jr., Charles E.	D	I	U	U	$82,093	11	$83,910	19	$95,394	14
3rd	Middlesex	Fargo, Susan	D	I	U	U	$21,301	29	$23,262	44	$21,855	45
4th	Middlesex	Havern III, Robert R.	D	I	U	U	$42,787	21	$35,250	38	$21,393	46
	Middlesex & Essex	Tisei, Richard R.	R	I	U	U	$23,829	26	$91,346	15	$83,197	24
1st	Middlesex & Norfolk	Creem, Cynthia Stone	D	I	U	U	$49,391	19	$8,019	51	$5,780	52
2nd	Middlesex & Norfolk	Magnani, David P.	D	I	U	U	$92,301	10	$37,587	34	$88,561	19
	Middlesex & Worcester	Hillery, Mary Jane	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$18,435	47	$14,733	50
	Middlesex & Worcester	Resor, Pam	D	I	U	W	$16,312	31	$56,967	26	$61,347	25
	Middlesex, Suffolk & Essex	Barrios, Jarrett	D	O	W	U	$0	-	$234,783	2	$407,540	1
	Middlesex, Suffolk & Essex	DeMaria, Carlo	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$154,225	6	$154,686	5
	Middlesex, Suffolk & Essex	Galluccio, Anthony	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$246,029	1	$245,811	2
	Norfolk & Plymouth	Morrissey, Michael W.	D	I	U	U	$180,794	3	$69,535	23	$42,989	30

Appendix C: Campaign Finance Rankings for 2002 Senate Candidates by Legislative District

District		Name	Pty Affil	Status	PW	GW	Warchest 1/1/02	Rank	Receipts 2002	Rank	Expenditures 2002	Rank
	Norfolk, Bristol & Middlesex	Jacques, Cheryl Ann	D	I	U	W	$206,501	2	$24,071	43	$42,377	32
	Norfolk, Bristol & Middlesex	Matthews, Daniel		-	-	-	$0	-	$2,950	53	$975	57
	Norfolk, Bristol & Middlesex	Sholley, Earl Henry	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$20,129	46	$22,140	43
	Norfolk, Bristol & Plymouth	Joyce, Brian A.	D	I	W	U	$636	37	$229,809	3	$229,977	3
	Norfolk, Bristol & Plymouth	O'Connor, Peri	D	C	L	-	$0	-	$21,356	45	$22,004	44
	Plymouth & Barnstable	Fava, Christopher	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$36,110	37	$35,758	37
	Plymouth & Barnstable	Murray, Therese	D	I	U	W	$32,791	23	$73,000	22	$83,974	23
1st	Plymouth & Bristol	Pacheco, Marc R.	D	I	U	U	$131,233	5	$96,579	13	$88,194	21
2nd	Plymouth & Bristol	Creedon, Jr., Robert S.	D	I	U	U	$63,330	14	$37,061	35	$39,117	34
	Plymouth & Norfolk	Hedlund, Robert L.	R	I	U	W	$41,176	22	$99,050	11	$137,658	7
	Plymouth & Norfolk	LeClair, Ted	D	C	U	L	$0	-	$133,812	8	$152,223	6
1st	Suffolk	Campbell, Walter R.	R	C	L	L	$0	-	$0	-	$0	-
1st	Suffolk	Causey, Carol	D	C	L	-	$0	-	$1,370	57	$1,370	56
1st	Suffolk	Garrison, Althea	R	C	L	-	$0	-	$0	-	$0	-
1st	Suffolk	Hart, John A, Jr	D	I	W	W	$0	*	$106,613	10	$162,852	4
2nd	Suffolk	Wilkerson, Dianne	D	I	U	U	$10,570	33	$97,715	12	$102,295	13
1st	Suffolk & Middlesex	Manganello, Vincent	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$1,620	56	$1,620	55
1st	Suffolk & Middlesex	Travaglini, Robert E.	D	I	U	W	$101,715	9	$176,221	4	$133,551	8
2nd	Suffolk & Middlesex	Tolman, Steven	D	I	U	U	$52,552	18	$40,180	31	$46,410	28
	Suffolk & Norfolk	Walsh, Marian	D	I	U	U	$26,652	25	$89,177	17	$89,677	18
1st	Worcester	Amorello, Robert	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$17,335	49	$19,522	48
1st	Worcester	Chandler, Harriette L.	D	I	U	W	$58,022	16	$90,198	16	$126,173	9
2nd	Worcester	Glodis, Guy W.	D	I	U	U	$112,541	7	$143,504	7	$58,402	27
	Worcester & Middlesex	Antonioni, Robert A.	D	I	U	U	$53,556	17	$32,467	39	$38,817	35
	Worcester & Norfolk	Moore, Richard T.	D	I	U	W	$60,289	15	$85,768	18	$124,742	10
	Worcester & Norfolk	Perez, Ralph	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$0	-	$0	-
	Worc,Hampd,Hamps & Frank	Brewer, Stephen M.	D	I	U	W	$153,933	4	$50,616	27	$31,564	39
	Worc,Hampd,Hamps & Frank	McMahon, Carolyn J.	L	C	U	L	$0	-	$1,660	55	$1,718	54

Status: Candidate Status
I = Incumbent, C = Challenger, O = Open Seat

PW = Primary Election
GW = General Election
U = Uncontested Race, W = Winner, L = Loser

* Due to a special election in 2002 these candidates' warchests and special election campaign finance data was not included in the report.
>>The definition of a contested vs. competitive race isexplained in the methodology section of the report.

Appendix D: Campaign Finance Rankings for 2002 House Candidates by Legislative District

District		Candidate Name	Pty Affil	Status	PW	GW	Warchest 1/1/02	Rank	Receipts 2002	Rank	Expenditures 2002	Rank
1st	Barnstable	George, Thomas N.	R	I	-	W	$11,498	75	$26,287	125	$35,370	72
1st	Barnstable	Turner, Cleon H.	D	C	-	L	$0	-	$26,935	114	$25,953	118
2nd	Barnstable	Atsalis, Demetrius	D	I	-	W	$19,301	47	$37,215	78	$39,612	54
2nd	Barnstable	Canedy, Ann	R	C	-	L	$0	-	$27,849	104	$26,198	116
2nd	Barnstable	White, Peter	G	C	-	L	$0	-	$2,476	272	$2,256	278
3rd	Barnstable	Costa, George	D	C	L	X	$0	-	$2,300	273	$9,164	213
3rd	Barnstable	Patrick, Matthew	D	I	W	W	$934	133	$24,652	133	$19,081	149
3rd	Barnstable	Wheatley, Larry F	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$40,532	56	$34,422	75
4th	Barnstable	Gomes, Shirley A.	R	I	U	U	$12,800	72	$2,103	275	$4,297	260
5th	Barnstable	Perry, Jeff	R	C	-	W	$0	-	$45,743	42	$47,048	38
5th	Barnstable	Provost, Ruth W.	D	I	-	L	$5,680	98	$35,823	80	$37,990	60
	Barn,Dukes,Nant	Turkington, Eric T.	D	I	U	U	$31,289	31	$3,695	258	$5,879	243
1st	Berkshire	Bosley, Daniel E.	D	I	U	U	$9,008	82	$27,755	106	$31,575	85
2nd	Berkshire	Goddard, Matthew	U	C	-	L	$0	-	$0	-	$0	-
2nd	Berkshire	Kelly, Shaun P.	R	I	-	W	$20,207	46	$1,981	279	$4,917	253
3rd	Berkshire	Guttorsmen, Eric	D	C	L	-	$0	-	$1,300	284	$4,581	257
3rd	Berkshire	Larkin, Peter J.	D	I	W	U	$2,128	125	$39,912	57	$37,590	62
4th	Berkshire	Irsfeld, J. Christopher	R	O	-	L	$0	-	$34,810	81	$30,216	91
4th	Berkshire	Pignatelli, William "Smitty"	D	O	W	W	$0	-	$39,515	61	$35,398	71
4th	Berkshire	Sherman, Kevin	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$20,777	148	$29,126	95
4th	Berkshire	Stokes, Thomas	U	O	-	L	$0	-	$31,135	91	$31,135	87
1st	Bristol	Coppola, Michael J.	R	I	U	U	$7,469	89	$441	299	$630	296
2nd	Bristol	Lepper, John A.	R	I	U	U	$8,874	84	$7,950	226	$12,024	198
3rd	Bristol	Fagan, James H.	D	I	U	U	$3,214	117	$16,625	174	$19,369	146
4th	Bristol	Travis, Philip	D	I	U	U	$1,107	131	$5,431	246	$6,294	237
5th	Bristol	Haddad, Patricia A.	D	I	U	U	$5,247	100	$11,191	206	$5,691	245
6th	Bristol	Camara, Joseph	D	C	L	-	$0	-	$38,248	68	$41,689	50
6th	Bristol	Sullivan, David B.	D	I	W	U	$13,837	63	$43,956	45	$42,911	48
7th	Bristol	Correia, Robert	D	I	-	W	$1,128	130	$46,599	40	$43,829	44
7th	Bristol	Leary, Raymond P	L	C	-	L	$0	-	$3,602	259	$3,897	264
8th	Bristol	Rodrigues, Michael J.	D	I	U	U	$25,043	37	$46,770	39	$38,132	59
9th	Bristol	Quinn, John F.	D	I	U	U	$89,385	9	$51,049	31	$22,549	132
10th	Bristol	Straus, William	D	I	U	U	$25,679	36	$10,906	207	$6,177	238
11th	Bristol	Koczera, Robert M.	D	I	U	U	$32,754	29	$5,705	243	$5,617	246
12th	Bristol	Howland, Mark A.	D	C	W	U	$0	-	$12,310	196	$12,229	197
12th	Bristol	Rogers, George	D	I	L	-	$2,442	123	$25,574	127	$27,811	103
13th	Bristol	Cabral, Antonio F. D.	D	I	W	U	$111,533	6	$47,224	37	$32,894	80
13th	Bristol	Silva, Duarte	D	C	L	-	$0	-	$4,551	253	$4,551	258
14th	Bristol	Poirier, Elizabeth	R	I	-	U	$429	142	$24,609	134	$19,046	150

Appendix D: Campaign Finance Rankings for 2002 House Candidates by Legislative District

District		Candidate Name	Pty Affil	Status	PW	GW	Warchest 1/1/02	Rank	Receipts 2002	Rank	Expenditures 2002	Rank
14th	Bristol	Redding, William K.	D	C	-	-	$0	-	$5,393	248	$6,079	240
1st	Essex	Costello, Michael	D	C	W	U	$0	-	$40,895	55	$47,791	36
1st	Essex	Tirone, Paul E.	D	I	L	-	$867	135	$11,995	199	$12,548	193
2nd	Essex	Cassidy, James	R	C	L	-	$0	-	$1,725	282	$4,272	262
2nd	Essex	Finneran, Bob	R	C	W	L	$0	-	$0	-	$0	-
2nd	Essex	Stanley, Harriet L.	D	I	U	W	$3,612	114	$27,290	109	$6,725	231
3rd	Essex	Dempsey, Brian S.	D	I	U	U	$1,716	128	$27,083	111	$18,807	153
4th	Essex	Hill, Bradford R.	R	I	U	U	$3,321	116	$11,975	200	$8,823	217
5th	Essex	Choate, Charles F.	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$750	293	$425	300
5th	Essex	Verga, Anthony J.	D	I	U	W	$3,762	111	$11,839	202	$7,233	229
6th	Essex	Costa, Ronald	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$9,958	213	$11,579	199
6th	Essex	Grant, Mary	D	O	W	W	$0	-	$26,944	113	$22,904	129
6th	Essex	Monahan, John	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$32,492	87	$30,638	90
6th	Essex	Munroe, Robert	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$4,660	252	$4,660	255
6th	Essex	Murray, John	R	O	U	L	$0	-	$8,015	224	$9,675	211
6th	Essex	Paglia, Donato	U	O	U	L	$0	-	$704	294	$707	293
7th	Essex	Pickard, Heather	D	C	L	-	$0	-	$26,887	115	$16,169	166
7th	Essex	Ruane, J. Michael	D	I	W	U	$10,731	80	$13,082	190	$17,844	157
8th	Essex	Petersen, Douglas	D	I	U	U	$8,284	87	$7,403	228	$6,442	235
9th	Essex	Falzone, Mark V.	D	I	W	U	$16,854	51	$45,620	43	$59,350	22
9th	Essex	Maio, Steve	D	C	L	-	$0	-	$39,290	62	$36,783	65
10th	Essex	Fennell, Robert	D	I	U	U	$55,743	17	$11,425	205	$10,056	208
11th	Essex	Coppola, Donna	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$20,694	149	$19,953	143
11th	Essex	Costin, Richard, Jr.	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$400	300	$710	292
11th	Essex	Ford, John	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$26,864	116	$26,829	111
11th	Essex	Walsh, Steve	D	O	W	U	$0	-	$43,595	46	$45,681	40
12th	Essex	Manning, Anne	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$30,210	95	$22,248	133
12th	Essex	McCarthy, Jr., John F.	R	O	U	L	$0	-	$14,549	184	$9,912	209
12th	Essex	McCarthy, Peter	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$22,885	139	$6,536	233
12th	Essex	Speliotis, Joyce	D	O	W	W	$0	-	$26,969	112	$38,386	58
12th	Essex	Wood, Robert	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$52,180	29	$43,615	46
13th	Essex	Clark, Stephen S.	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$37,611	73	$36,367	68
13th	Essex	Speliotis, Theodore	D	I	U	W	$635	139	$10,571	209	$15,287	170
14th	Essex	Leavitt, John	G	C	U	L	$0	-	$27,393	108	$44,449	43
14th	Essex	McCormick, Graydon	D	C	L	-	$0	-	$2,034	278	$2,055	279
14th	Essex	Porten, Paula L.	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$30,664	93	$28,013	101
14th	Essex	Torrisi, David M.	D	I	W	W	$32,214	30	$42,305	49	$40,943	52
15th	Essex	Broadhurst, Arthur J	D	I	U	W	$19,114	48	$15,720	177	$23,627	126
15th	Essex	Hazelton, Robert G.	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$4,402	255	$4,382	259

Appendix D: Campaign Finance Rankings for 2002 House Candidates by Legislative District

District		Candidate Name	Pty Affil	Status	PW	GW	Warchest 1/1/02	Rank	Receipts 2002	Rank	Expenditures 2002	Rank
16th	Essex	Blanchette, Patrick J	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$5,890	238	$6,041	241
16th	Essex	Garcia, Alfonso	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$14,176	186	$14,165	180
16th	Essex	Lantigua, William	U	O	U	W	$0	-	$8,295	221	$8,335	222
16th	Essex	Santiago, Jose	D	I	W	L	$0	-	$5,106	250	$5,897	242
17th	Essex	Finegold, Barry R.	D	I	W	U	$5,822	97	$37,536	75	$27,439	106
17th	Essex	Frishman, Michael	D	C	L	-	$0	-	$598	296	$598	298
18th	Essex	Depietro, Alfred	U	O	U	L	$0	-	$12,388	195	$14,343	178
18th	Essex	L'Italien, Barbara	D	O	W	W	$0	-	$45,803	41	$43,291	47
18th	Essex	Sachs, Kathleen	R	O	U	L	$0	-	$15,351	180	$10,386	206
18th	Essex	Shea, Kevin	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$26,832	117	$27,900	102
1st	Franklin	Gagne, James	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$3,739	257	$5,281	250
1st	Franklin	Kulik, Stephen	D	I	U	W	$13,652	65	$10,334	210	$4,924	252
2nd	Franklin	Donelan, Chris	D	O	W	U	$0	-	$20,455	153	$27,685	104
2nd	Franklin	Ruggeri, Peter	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$14,355	185	$22,830	130
1st	Hampden	Hillman, Reed V.	R	I	U	U	$62,191	12	$6,610	236	$12,534	194
2nd	Hampden	Grady, Kathy	D	C	U	L	$0	-	$25,348	131	$27,043	110
2nd	Hampden	Rogeness, Mary S.	R	I	U	W	$54,270	19	$23,475	137	$41,947	49
2nd	Hampden	Wilcox, Alan L.	L	C	U	L	$0	-	$832	291	$832	290
3rd	Hampden	Keenan, Daniel F.	D	I	U	U	$6,773	92	$15,627	178	$15,022	172
4th	Hampden	Boscher, Lynn F	R	O	L	-	$0	-	$20,359	155	$28,047	100
4th	Hampden	Humason, Donald F J	R	O	W	W	$0	-	$56,676	25	$44,972	42
4th	Hampden	Sullivan, Bo	D	O	U	L	$0	-	$31,415	89	$33,978	79
5th	Hampden	Franco, Michael	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$16,079	176	$20,726	139
5th	Hampden	Kane, Michael F.	D	I	U	W	$3,042	118	$24,849	132	$21,743	134
6th	Hampden	Buoniconti, Stephen J.	D	I	U	U	$8,842	85	$19,044	162	$16,602	164
7th	Hampden	Petrolati, Thomas M.	D	I	U	U	$59,666	13	$60,692	19	$27,189	108
8th	Hampden	Wagner, Joseph F.	D	I	U	U	$6,725	93	$20,621	150	$26,578	112
9th	Hampden	Asselin, Christopher P.	D	I	U	U	$0	-	$39,585	60	$34,053	78
10th	Hampden	Rivera, Cheryl	D	I	U	U	$21,082	44	$32,735	86	$24,516	123
11th	Hampden	Lawson, Larry	D	C	L	-	$0	-	$905	288	$1,153	288
11th	Hampden	Swan, Benjamin	D	I	W	U	$3,840	109	$30,750	92	$32,173	83
11th	Hampden	Williams, Bud	D	C	L	-	$0	-	$12,725	193	$13,817	183
12th	Hampden	Candaras, Gale D.	D	I	U	W	$22,797	41	$62,870	18	$83,486	13
12th	Hampden	Collamore, Bob	R	C	W	L	$0	-	$39,710	58	$12,792	190
12th	Hampden	Leisey, Christopher	R	C	L	-	$0	-	$2,045	277	$26,546	113
1st	Hampshire	Aleo, Michael	G	C	U	L	$0	-	$20,792	147	$14,994	174
1st	Hampshire	Kocut, Peter	D	I	U	W	$0	*	$12,107	198	$19,246	148
2nd	Hampshire	Bartone, Sue	G	O	U	L	$0	-	$12,834	191	$13,003	189
2nd	Hampshire	Duffy, Patricia	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$7,291	229	$7,280	228

Appendix D: Campaign Finance Rankings for 2002 House Candidates by Legislative District

District		Candidate Name	Pty Affil	Status	PW	GW	Warchest 1/1/02	Rank	Receipts 2002	Rank	Expenditures 2002	Rank
2nd	Hampshire	Hamlin, Carlene	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$27,115	110	$27,191	107
2nd	Hampshire	Kwiecinski, James	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$11,613	204	$11,518	201
2nd	Hampshire	Pappas, Max	U	O	U	L	$0	-	$13,840	187	$6,535	234
2nd	Hampshire	Scibak, John	D	O	W	W	$0	-	$49,479	33	$49,475	33
3rd	Hampshire	Story, Ellen	D	I	U	U	$15,873	55	$2,814	269	$1,383	285
1st	Middlesex	Hargraves, Robert	R	I	U	W	$24,944	38	$29,876	98	$19,781	144
1st	Middlesex	Morrison, Fanette	D	C	U	L	$3,625	113	$33,964	82	$37,349	63
2nd	Middlesex	Hall, Geoffrey	D	I	U	U	$5,408	99	$8,521	220	$6,392	236
3rd	Middlesex	Walrath, Patricia A.	D	I	U	U	$33,795	28	$12,272	197	$8,992	214
4th	Middlesex	Hagan, William J.	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$3,319	262	$3,305	269
4th	Middlesex	LeDuc, Stephen P.	D	I	U	W	$12,524	73	$26,740	120	$34,816	73
4th	Middlesex	Testagrossa, Mark	L	C	U	L	$0	-	$277	301	$277	301
5th	Middlesex	Cashman, Michael P	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$8,952	217	$12,266	196
5th	Middlesex	Linsky, David	D	I	U	W	$2,748	120	$16,869	173	$13,373	185
6th	Middlesex	Blumer, Deborah D.	D	I	U	W	$3,700	112	$19,920	156	$14,408	177
6th	Middlesex	Pillsbury, James M	L	C	U	L	$0	-	$1,238	286	$3,227	270
7th	Middlesex	Doherty, Gregory	L	C	U	L	$0	-	$7,146	231	$8,409	221
7th	Middlesex	Spilka, Karen	D	I	U	W	$13,586	67	$12,642	194	$8,415	220
8th	Middlesex	Carboneau, James	D	C	U	L	$600	141	$2,860	268	$685	294
8th	Middlesex	Loscocco, Paul J.	R	I	U	W	$26,590	35	$30,035	96	$30,120	92
9th	Middlesex	Stanley, Thomas M.	D	I	U	U	$16,259	53	$38,810	67	$34,151	77
10th	Middlesex	Koutoujian, Peter J.	D	I	U	U	$102,000	8	$63,209	17	$51,828	27
11th	Middlesex	Choroszy, Zygmunt	D	C	L	-	$0	-	$15,422	179	$17,654	160
11th	Middlesex	Fain, Danny	L	C	U	L	$0	-	$1,625	283	$1,702	283
11th	Middlesex	Khan, Kay	D	I	W	W	$6,709	94	$21,082	145	$18,915	152
12th	Middlesex	Balser, Ruth B.	D	I	U	U	$11,143	77	$18,849	163	$8,039	224
13th	Middlesex	Pope, Susan	R	I	U	U	$48,631	21	$18,098	168	$13,114	188
14th	Middlesex	Atkins, Cory	D	I	U	W	$3,798	110	$28,815	101	$32,199	82
14th	Middlesex	McClure, Richard	R	C	L	-	$0	-	$0	-	$0	-
14th	Middlesex	Unitt, III, Peter J.	R	C	W	L	$0	-	$13,466	189	$11,550	200
15th	Middlesex	Dever, Michael	U	C	U	L	$0	-	$5,856	241	$4,778	254
15th	Middlesex	Kaufman, Jay R.	D	I	U	W	$6,069	96	$50,338	32	$31,309	86
16th	Middlesex	Garavanian, Harry	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$5,209	249	$8,954	215
16th	Middlesex	Golden, Jr., Thomas	D	I	U	W	$260	143	$26,389	124	$11,048	203
17th	Middlesex	Boston, Eryk C.	L	C	U	L	$0	-	$480	298	$480	299
17th	Middlesex	Krieger, Cliff	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$3,115	264	$2,426	277
17th	Middlesex	Nangle, David M.	D	I	U	W	$2,109	126	$33,740	83	$21,085	138
18th	Middlesex	Charow, John	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$1,962	280	$1,903	281
18th	Middlesex	Murphy, Kevin J.	D	I	U	W	$7,954	88	$26,107	126	$14,633	176

Appendix D: Campaign Finance Rankings for 2002 House Candidates by Legislative District

District		Candidate Name	Pty Affil	Status	PW	GW	Warchest 1/1/02	Rank	Receipts 2002	Rank	Expenditures 2002	Rank
19th	Middlesex	Ballou, Dan	R	C	U	L	$10	145	$5,515	244	$5,468	249
19th	Middlesex	Miceli, James R	D	I	U	W	$842	136	$19,688	157	$18,002	155
20th	Middlesex	Jones, Jr., Bradley H.	R	I	U	U	$43,381	23	$42,659	48	$34,153	76
21st	Middlesex	Cirignano, John J.	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$9,700	215	$19,351	147
21st	Middlesex	Israel, Elias	L	C	U	L	$0	-	$625	295	$625	297
21st	Middlesex	Murphy, Charles A.	D	I	W	W	$14,900	59	$37,582	74	$43,762	45
21st	Middlesex	Parker, Terrence L	D	C	L	-	$0	-	$5,865	240	$5,594	247
22nd	Middlesex	Greene, William G.	D	I	U	U	$6,689	95	$0	-	$3,443	267
23rd	Middlesex	Arena, Richard	D	C	L	-	$0	-	$12,765	192	$15,375	169
23rd	Middlesex	Marzilli, Jim	D	I	W	U	$11,942	74	$16,165	175	$14,087	181
24th	Middlesex	Paulsen, Anne M.	D	I	U	U	$12,990	71	$10,055	211	$13,734	184
25th	Middlesex	Wolf, Alice K.	D	I	U	U	$54,678	18	$38,037	69	$30,659	89
26th	Middlesex	Lachelier, Paul	G	C	U	L	$0	-	$33,351	84	$26,342	115
26th	Middlesex	Toomey, Timothy J	D	I	U	W	$14,703	61	$41,170	54	$62,763	18
27th	Middlesex	Jehlen, Patricia	D	I	U	W	$2,417	124	$10,711	208	$6,083	239
27th	Middlesex	Roderick, John Alan	L	C	U	L	$15	144	$1,288	285	$1,303	286
28th	Middlesex	Auger Collins, Barbara	D	C	L	-	$0	-	$6,880	233	$20,057	141
28th	Middlesex	Connolly, Edward G.	D	I	W	W	$13,644	66	$26,761	119	$34,508	74
28th	Middlesex	Ranieri, Anthony	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$18,656	165	$18,518	154
29th	Middlesex	Kaprielian, Rachel	D	I	U	U	$106,529	7	$25,472	129	$12,577	192
30th	Middlesex	Donovan, Carol A.	D	I	U	U	$8,906	83	$19,308	160	$23,197	128
31st	Middlesex	Casey, Paul C.	D	I	U	U	$11,243	76	$20,390	154	$20,613	140
32nd	Middlesex	Festa, Michael E.	D	I	U	W	$18,933	49	$44,133	44	$48,240	34
32nd	Middlesex	Hitchman, Arthur	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$19,227	161	$17,770	158
33rd	Middlesex	Fallon, Christopher G.	D	I	U	U	$16,363	52	$65,390	14	$60,318	21
34th	Middlesex	Ciampa, Vincent Paul	D	I	U	U	$36,203	26	$17,254	171	$12,512	195
35th	Middlesex	Donato, Paul J.	D	I	U	U	$17,554	50	$48,699	35	$47,264	37
36th	Middlesex	Deeb, Dennis "DJ"	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$22,140	143	$26,524	114
36th	Middlesex	Garry, Colleen, M.	D	I	U	W	$13,676	64	$28,405	103	$32,541	81
37th	Middlesex	Eldridge, James	D	O	W	W	$0	-	$48,953	34	$51,583	30
37th	Middlesex	Fenniman, Todd D.	R	O	U	L	$0	-	$37,016	79	$25,352	119
37th	Middlesex	Lamothe, Ron	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$6,952	232	$4,638	256
37th	Middlesex	Linet, Paul	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$27,706	107	$35,658	70
37th	Middlesex	Westerling, David	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$38,870	64	$48,154	35
1st	Norfolk	Ayers, Bruce J.	D	I	U	U	$13,331	68	$20,550	151	$3,325	268
2nd	Norfolk	Tobin, Arthur Stephen	D	I	U	U	$82,801	11	$21,937	144	$27,133	109
3rd	Norfolk	Mariano, Ronald	D	I	U	U	$113,761	5	$55,550	27	$21,128	137
4th	Norfolk	Murphy, James	D	I	U	U	$5,046	102	$18,365	166	$10,301	207
5th	Norfolk	Sullivan, Joseph C.	D	I	U	U	$147,146	3	$5,930	237	$15,783	167

Appendix D: Campaign Finance Rankings for 2002 House Candidates by Legislative District

District		Candidate Name	Pty Affil	Status	PW	GW	Warchest 1/1/02	Rank	Receipts 2002	Rank	Expenditures 2002	Rank
6th	Norfolk	Galvin, William C.	D	I	U	W	$10,773	79	$11,890	201	$10,851	204
6th	Norfolk	Hansen, Howard	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$3,276	263	$2,502	275
6th	Norfolk	Yampbell, Richard	L	C	U	L	$0	-	$794	292	$794	291
7th	Norfolk	Aldred, James	R	O	U	L	$0	-	$3,324	261	$1,207	287
7th	Norfolk	Lowney, Jeremiah "Jay"	U	O	U	L	$0	-	$51,715	30	$51,715	28
7th	Norfolk	McEttrick, Joseph	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$37,665	71	$39,412	55
7th	Norfolk	Timilty, Walter F Jr.	D	I	W	W	$40,773	24	$110,215	5	$150,767	5
8th	Norfolk	Kafka, Louis L.	D	I	U	U	$7,332	90	$8,591	218	$14,762	175
9th	Norfolk	Brown, Scott P.	R	I	U	U	$4,672	103	$5,830	242	$2,753	273
10th	Norfolk	Vallee, James E.	D	I	U	U	$5,046	101	$17,176	172	$17,717	159
11th	Norfolk	Coughlin, Robert	D	C	W	W	$0	-	$171,950	3	$174,019	2
11th	Norfolk	Lewis, Mary Anne	D	I	L	-	$56,500	16	$99,863	6	$156,303	3
11th	Norfolk	Pascarella, Joe	R	C	W	L	$0	-	$11,641	203	$11,272	202
11th	Norfolk	Smith, Dan	R	C	L	-	$0	-	$0	-	$0	-
12th	Norfolk	Rogers, John H.	D	I	U	U	$85,830	10	$77,680	12	$71,857	15
13th	Norfolk	Harkins, Lida E.	D	I	U	U	$15,588	56	$23,153	138	$14,306	179
14th	Norfolk	Field, George	R	O	U	L	$0	-	$41,174	53	$46,342	39
14th	Norfolk	Kaplan, Larry	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$26,830	118	$28,459	97
14th	Norfolk	Peisch, Alice	D	O	W	W	$0	-	$85,032	11	$82,972	14
15th	Norfolk	Smizik, Frank Israel	D	I	U	U	$3,588	115	$2,811	270	$7,809	226
1st	Plymouth	DeMacedo, Vinny M	R	I	U	W	$15,287	57	$37,829	70	$51,679	29
1st	Plymouth	Tripp III, Loring Lynn	D	C	U	L	$0	-	$25,357	130	$24,632	122
2nd	Plymouth	Corbitt, Sam	R	O	L	-	$0	-	$3,363	260	$4,275	261
2nd	Plymouth	Damata, Dennis	R	O	L	-	$0	-	$8,090	223	$8,040	223
2nd	Plymouth	Donahue, John	D	O	W	L	$0	-	$53,387	28	$57,634	23
2nd	Plymouth	Musiol, Rick	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$68,822	13	$89,116	12
2nd	Plymouth	Williams-Gifford, Susan	R	O	W	W	$0	-	$37,420	76	$41,049	51
3rd	Plymouth	Bradley, Garrett J.	D	I	U	W	$29,847	32	$59,586	22	$89,159	11
3rd	Plymouth	Palmer, Nathaniel	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$38,852	65	$37,123	64
4th	Plymouth	Hynes, Frank M.	D	I	U	U	$34,479	27	$835	290	$0	-
5th	Plymouth	Mullin, Joseph	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$9,376	216	$8,878	216
5th	Plymouth	Nyman, Robert J.	D	I	U	W	$831	138	$28,525	102	$28,165	98
6th	Plymouth	Barry , Thomas	R	O	L	-	$0	-	$3,092	265	$3,092	271
6th	Plymouth	Cullity, William	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$20,950	146	$17,981	156
6th	Plymouth	Simmons, Bridget	D	O	W	L	$0	-	$37,661	72	$37,841	61
6th	Plymouth	Webster, Daniel	R	O	W	W	$0	-	$41,779	50	$40,682	53
7th	Plymouth	Burbine, R. Andrew	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$29,246	100	$29,904	93
7th	Plymouth	Olson, Steven P.	L	C	U	L	$0	-	$2,270	274	$2,685	274
7th	Plymouth	Teahan, Kathleen M.	D	I	U	W	$12,994	69	$29,910	97	$36,649	66

Appendix D: Campaign Finance Rankings for 2002 House Candidates by Legislative District

District		Candidate Name	Pty Affil	Status	PW	GW	Warchest 1/1/02	Rank	Receipts 2002	Rank	Expenditures 2002	Rank
8th	Plymouth	Berdos, Jr., George L.	L	C	U	L	$0	-	$0	-	$0	-
8th	Plymouth	Flynn, David L.	D	I	U	W	$23,076	40	$6,850	234	$9,528	212
9th	Plymouth	Kennedy, Thomas P.	D	I	U	U	$46,652	22	$18,312	167	$13,148	187
10th	Plymouth	Canavan, Christine E.	D	I	U	U	$882	134	$5,421	247	$3,533	265
11th	Plymouth	Creedon, Geraldine	D	I	U	U	$21,503	43	$14,900	183	$12,722	191
12th	Plymouth	O'Brien, Thomas J.	D	I	U	U	$12,992	70	$37,404	77	$24,840	121
1st	Suffolk	Petrucelli, Anthony	D	I	U	U	$4,537	105	$15,325	181	$13,966	182
2nd	Suffolk	O'Flaherty, Gene L.	D	I	U	U	$27,705	34	$55,900	26	$30,807	88
3rd	Suffolk	Dimasi, Salvatore F.	D	I	U	U	$132,841	4	$64,857	16	$55,665	25
4th	Suffolk	Murphy, Shawn	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$0	-	$0	-
4th	Suffolk	O'Shea, Robert	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$59,901	20	$61,966	19
4th	Suffolk	Wallace, Brian	D	O	W	U	$0	-	$91,135	8	$90,768	10
5th	Suffolk	Chaparro, Peggy	U	C	U	L	$0	-	$0	-	$0	-
5th	Suffolk	St. Fleur, Marie	D	I	U	W	$4,371	107	$29,633	99	$35,854	69
6th	Suffolk	Owen-Hicks, Shirley	D	I	U	U	$2,520	122	$0	-	$0	-
7th	Suffolk	Fox, Gloria L.	D	I	U	U	$1,693	129	$4,550	254	$3,444	266
8th	Suffolk	Decker, Marjorie	D	C	L	-	$0	-	$183,837	2	$156,041	4
8th	Suffolk	Demakis, Paul C.	D	I	W	U	$49,951	20	$88,674	9	$119,811	6
9th	Suffolk	Rushing, Byron	D	I	U	U	$15,192	58	$2,925	267	$685	295
10th	Suffolk	Estrada, David	I	O	L	L	$0	-	$7,962	225	$7,961	225
10th	Suffolk	Hamlen, Sara	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$24,290	136	$21,362	136
10th	Suffolk	Hickey, John	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$121,549	4	$108,036	7
10th	Suffolk	Kenneally, Brian	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$31,330	90	$29,174	94
10th	Suffolk	Rush, Mike	D	O	W	W	$0	-	$59,887	21	$56,962	24
10th	Suffolk	Wheeler, Richard H.	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$17,774	169	$16,568	165
11th	Suffolk	Malia, Elizabeth	D	I	W	U	$10,522	81	$22,577	140	$25,033	120
11th	Suffolk	McLaughlin, Rafael	D	C	L	-	$0	-	$10,023	212	$9,821	210
11th	Suffolk	Payne-Thompson, Jackie	D	C	L	-	$0	-	$2,097	276	$1,754	282
12th	Suffolk	Finneran, Thomas M.	D	I	U	U	$508,922	1	$370,641	1	$407,232	1
13th	Suffolk	Geary, Edward, Jr.	D	C	L	-	$0	-	$6,755	235	$6,646	232
13th	Suffolk	Walsh, Martin	D	I	W	U	$832	137	$94,974	7	$94,225	9
14th	Suffolk	Scaccia, Angelo M.	D	I	U	U	$20,768	45	$38,825	66	$22,784	131
15th	Suffolk	Allan, William	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$22,205	142	$19,771	145
15th	Suffolk	Brookins, Kathryn	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$17,546	170	$17,546	162
15th	Suffolk	Chidi, George	U	O	U	L	$0	-	$1,915	281	$1,907	280
15th	Suffolk	Clifford, John	U	O	U	L	$0	-	$0	-	$0	-
15th	Suffolk	Giordano, Richard	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$22,242	141	$20,044	142
15th	Suffolk	Lopez, Juan	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$15,204	182	$15,032	171
15th	Suffolk	Rudall, D. Evan	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$2,990	266	$2,990	272

Appendix D: Campaign Finance Rankings for 2002 House Candidates by Legislative District

District		Candidate Name	Pty Affil	Status	PW	GW	Warchest 1/1/02	Rank	Receipts 2002	Rank	Expenditures 2002	Rank
15th	Suffolk	Sanchez, Jeffery	D	O	W	W	$0	-	$27,792	105	$15,462	168
16th	Suffolk	Reinstein, Kathy Anne	D	I	U	U	$22,192	42	$33,022	85	$70,202	16
17th	Suffolk	Honan, Kevin G.	D	I	U	U	$37,046	25	$18,778	164	$17,640	161
18th	Suffolk	Felker, Paul	D	C	L	-	$0	-	$5,505	245	$6,906	230
18th	Suffolk	Friedman, Dave	D	C	L	-	$0	-	$87,063	10	$96,061	8
18th	Suffolk	Golden, Brian Paul	D	I	W	U	$23,916	39	$59,482	23	$60,834	20
19th	Suffolk	DeLeo, Robert A.	D	I	U	W	$59,417	14	$46,940	38	$54,146	26
19th	Suffolk	Ronukaitus, Paul	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$2,490	271	$2,491	276
1st	Worcester	Bunker, David	D	I	U	L	$1,042	132	$26,645	122	$28,136	99
1st	Worcester	Evangelidis, Lewis	R	C	W	W	$0	-	$41,335	52	$38,970	56
1st	Worcester	Ferguson, Mark	R	C	L	-	$0	-	$4,800	251	$5,506	248
2nd	Worcester	Hunt, William	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$8,579	219	$8,579	219
2nd	Worcester	Knuuttila, Brian	D	I	U	W	$14,067	62	$13,589	188	$23,293	127
3rd	Worcester	Dionne, Ronald R.	D	C	L	-	$10	146	$49	302	$59	302
3rd	Worcester	Goguen, Emile J.	D	I	W	U	$610	140	$5,890	239	$5,188	251
4th	Worcester	Simmons, Mary Jane	D	I	U	U	$2,595	121	$500	297	$1,579	284
5th	Worcester	Foyle, James J.	D	C	L	-	$0	-	$4,254	256	$4,254	263
5th	Worcester	Gobi, Ann	D	I	W	W	$4,487	106	$26,515	123	$27,497	105
5th	Worcester	Witkos, Ryan	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$24,310	135	$25,990	117
6th	Worcester	Carron, Mark J.	D	I	U	W	$1,749	127	$8,265	222	$8,703	218
6th	Worcester	Jachimczyk, Jerzy	R	C	U	L	$0	-	$9,805	214	$10,824	205
7th	Worcester	Frost, Paul K.	R	I	U	W	$11,037	78	$19,542	158	$28,487	96
7th	Worcester	Murphy, Jr., James	D	C	U	L	$0	-	$19,350	159	$19,041	151
8th	Worcester	Healey, John	D	C	L	-	$0	-	$7,193	230	$5,783	244
8th	Worcester	Kujawski, Paul	D	I	W	U	$4,610	104	$64,873	15	$62,952	17
9th	Worcester	Peterson, George N. Jr.	R	I	U	U	$3,846	108	$25,531	128	$24,194	124
10th	Worcester	Parente, Marie J.	D	I	U	U	$8,657	86	$850	289	$7,756	227
11th	Worcester	Polito, Karyn E.	R	I	U	U	$57,454	15	$42,679	47	$24,161	125
12th	Worcester	Naughton, Harold P. Jr.	D	I	U	W	$15,977	54	$26,695	121	$36,593	67
12th	Worcester	Pacheco, William D "Skip"	L	C	U	L	$0	-	$0	-	$0	-
13th	Worcester	Spellane, Robert Bob	D	I	U	U	$2,782	119	$31,680	88	$32,034	84
14th	Worcester	Leary, James Brendan	D	I	U	U	$6,813	91	$20,486	152	$17,434	163
15th	Worcester	Pedone, Vincent A.	D	I	U	U	$14,749	60	$7,661	227	$13,206	186
16th	Worcester	Fresolo, John P.	D	I	U	W	$29,367	33	$39,679	59	$21,410	135
16th	Worcester	Yeaw, Aidan	U	C	U	L	$0	-	$1,065	287	$1,065	289
17th	Worcester	Binienda, John J. Sr.	D	I	U	U	$148,033	2	$48,005	36	$15,021	173
18th	Worcester	Amorello, Peter	R	O	U	L	$0	-	$30,440	94	$38,484	57
18th	Worcester	Badzmierowski, Robert	U	O	U	L	$0	-	$39,144	63	$45,262	41
18th	Worcester	Callahan, Jennifer	D	O	W	W	$0	-	$41,506	51	$51,351	31

Appendix D: Campaign Finance Rankings for 2002 House Candidates by Legislative District

District	Candidate Name	Pty Affil	Status	PW	GW	Warchest 1/1/02	Rank	Receipts 2002	Rank	Expenditures 2002	Rank
18th Worcester	Dubois, Robert	D	O	L	-	$0	-	$58,455	24	$50,082	32

Status: Candidate Status
I = Incumbent, C = Challenger, O = Open Seat

PW = Primary Election
GW = General Election
U = Uncontested Race, W = Winner, L = Loser

* Due to a special election in 2002 this candidate's warchests and special election campaign finance data was not included in the report.
>>The definition of a contested vs. competitive race isexplained in the methodology section of the report.